## **INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT**

# OF

# SUPPORT PROGRAMME FOR

# URBAN REFORMS IN BIHAR

# (SPUR)

**ULB: MAKHADUMPUR** 

## FOR THE PERIOD

## (01-04-2015 TO 31-03-2016)

Audited By :

U. S. Prasad & Co. Chartered Accountants 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, 34, Kavi Raman Path, Nageshwar Colony, Boring Road, Patna 800001

Mob. No. 09431015313 09835481516 E-mail : <u>udaylalmani@gmail.com</u> <u>carkthakur@gmail.com</u> To,

The Secretary, UD & HD Urban Development and Housing Dept. Govt. of Bihar 101, 1st Floor, Vikash Bhawan New Secretariat Bailey Road Patna – 800 015 (BIHAR)

Dear Sir,

# Sub: Internal Audit Report of NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MAKHDUMPUR for the Period 01.04.2015 to 31.03.2016.

We are submitting the audit report of Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur for the period starting from 01-04-2015 to 31-03-2016 in reference to our appointment as Internal Auditor for Group 9 issued by support programme for urban reforms in Bihar (SPUR), Patna vide Notice to proceed no. SPUR-PMU/194/IA-140ULBs&SLMA/S-3/USP/2016/139/36 Dated 05<sup>th</sup>April 2016.

Our scope of audit covers the requirement of specific points as spelled out in contract agreement entered with the management of SPUR.



*for* U. S Prasad & Co. Chartered Accountants

MANOJ KUMAR PARTNER M. No.: 418631

## **NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MAKHDUMPUR**

## **INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT OF F.Y.2015-16**

## **INDEX**

Section	Contents	Page No.
1	Executive Summary	3
2	Detailed Audit report	
	1. INTRODUCTION	8
	2. ADMINISTRATION	8
	3. REVIEW OF OUTSTANDING AUDIT PARAS	9
	4. FINANCE	11
	5. AUDIT OBSERVATIONS	
	PartA	16
<u></u>	Part –B	19
	Part –C	21



## **Executive Summary**

#### 1. Introduction:-

Name of Municipality:- NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MAKHDUMPUR

Period covered under current audit: - 01-04-2015 to 31-03-2016.

Name of the Executive Officer for the period under audit:-Shri Binod Kumar Rajak

#### 2. Results and Findings

#### Strength observed during the audit engagement

- 1. Subsidiary Cash Book has been written.
- 2. Office infrastructure is sufficient for operation.
- 3. Response from officer and clerk are satisfactory.

# Weaknesses observed in the functioning of office, maintenance of records etc. during the audit engagement

- 1. General Cash Book has not been prepared properly.
- 2. Fixed Assets Register has not been maintained.
- 3. Advance Register has not been maintained.
- 4. Stock Register has not been maintained.
- 5. Daily collection register is maintained but the same is not maintained Revenue receipt wise separately.
- 6. In some case where payment has been made to contractors, TDS has not been deducted and in some other cases where TDS have been deducted but it has not been deposited till date. Therefore, TDS Return has not filled till date. It attracts penalty u/s 234E.



Page 3

- 7. Holding tax has not been levied on any property by the ULB.
- 8. The Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur, did not maintain demand and Collection Register of Mobile Tower. The data made available to us revealed that tower tax has not been properly collected. The total number of tower is 17 including 8 antenna as on 31-03-2016. Thus, total outstanding tax amount is Rs. 23,17,456/for registration fee and renewal there of including interest thereon.
- 9. Daily collection amount not timely deposited into bank account as per the procedure prescribed by the BMA, 2007.
- 10. Municipal Accounts Committee has not been constituted.
- 11. There is lack of internal control with / in respect to collection of revenue covered under sairat during the FY 2015-16. For example Tender/Bandobasti for parking, public toilet, Mobile Tower, or advertisements are not being executed.
- 12. No Separate Grant register is being maintained, hence, it is difficult to find out the amount of unutilised grant at any point of grant.
- 13. Amount of Rs. 2,19,612/- collected during the Financial Year 2015-16 as shown in Daily Collection Receipt but the same is neither entered in Cash Book nor deposited in to bank by Md. Anwar Ansari (Tax Daroga) and Raja Ram Prasad (Head Clerk). Detail has been mentioned in Part A of Audit Observations.
- 14. Bank Reconciliation statement has not been prepared for any bank account by the ULB.
- 15. Logbook has not been maintained.
- 16. Procurement Register is not maintained & Procurement document file is not provided by the ULB.
- 17. Statuary deduction file has not been provided during the course of audit by the ULB.



U S Prasad & Co. (Chartered Accountant)

#### 3. Opinion

Core Municipal functions are performed by the ULB. However, the ULB is not working properly. Even internal control applied by the ULB is poor. Revenue collection of the ULB is very poor. If revenue collection is made effectively and efficiently, it may be increased to much higher level. All cash collection from source of revenue is not deposited in bank on same day or next working day. Due to lack of manpower, there is delay in performing day to day work. There should be proper segregation of duties to perform day-to-day work in efficient manner. Bank reconciliation should be done on monthly basis. We find that rules and regulation are there but ULB is not following them properly. Most of the Books of Accounts as prescribed by BMA are not maintained by the ULB. Fee and charges for the different categories cover under Sairat have not been collected. There are serious lapses in deduction and deposit of statutory dues, such as VAT, Royalty, TDS, and Labour Cess Etc.



#### 4. Audit Recommendations

- i) Fixed Assets Register should be maintained.
- ii) Grant Register should be maintained.
- iii) Advance Register should be maintained.
- iv) Stock Register should be maintained.
- v) Daily Collection Register separately for each head should be maintained.
- vi) TDS deduction & deposit thereof and e filling of TDS return should be timely and in proper manner.
- vii) Tax amount should be collected from Mobile tower.
- viii) Daily collection amount should be deposited into bank account as prescribed in BMA 2007.
- ix) Municipal Accounts Committee should be constituted.
  - x) Budget must be prepared by the ULB and should be submitted on time to the Government.
- xi) Book of accounts should be verified by EO on regular interval.
- xii) All statutory deduction must be deducted before making payment to contactor.



USPrasad & Co. (Chartered Accountant)

#### 5. Comments from Management

The audit report has been discussed with us. We will try our best to remove the irregularities pointed out in the audit report.

> For, Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur (Executive Officer.)

#### 6. Acknowledgement

We thank Mr.Binod Kumar Rajak (Executive Officer), for his support during the period of our audit. We are also thankful to accountant and other staff of the Nagar Panchayat for their co-operation during the period of audit.



*for,* U S PRASAD & CO. Chartered Accountants

Manoj Kumar (Partner) M.NO:- 418631

U S Prasad & Co. (Chartered Accountant)

## **Detailed Audit Report**

#### 1) Introduction

The Internal audit of Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur covering the period from 01-04-2015 to 31-03-2016 was conducted by following persons under guidance of CA Manoj Kumar

- i) Mr. Dharmendra Kumar
- ii) Mr. Arun Kumar

#### 2) Administration

The present Chairman of ULB Smt. Girja Sinha has taken charge on 09-06-2012. The incumbency in the key administrative and executive positions was as under:

Smt. Girja Sinha, Chairman From 09-06-2012 to till date. Shri Sanjay Kumar, Commissioner / Executive Officer from 02-08-2012 to 20-05-2015. Shri Binod Kumar Rajak, Commissioner, / Executive Officer from 21-05-2015 to till date.



## 3) <u>Review of outstanding audit paras</u>: Status of Audit Observations is as under:

#### **Compliance of Previous Audit Report**

Compliance of previous audit report has not been done by the Nagar Panchayat Details are follows :-

SI.No.	Particulars of Audit And date of report	Total No. of Audit Paras.	Total No. of Audit Paras where necessary improvement/corre ctive measure is required	Total No of Audit Paras where recovery of cash is proposed	Total No. of Audit Paras where recovery has been made	Total Amount of Recovery	Total No. of Outstanding Para Where no action has been taken	No. & dated of compliance report
1	CAG 26-04-2016	25	25	7	0	0	25	No complianc e reported till reporting date



#### Deficiency Pointed Out in AG Audit Report

- 1 Property tax has not been levied on any property.
- 2 There is short deposit of Rs 64,889/- of miscellaneous receipt in cashbook during the period 2013-16.
- 3 VAT & Performance security has not been deducted in purchase of CFL street light during the period 2012-16.
- 4 Proper tendering system has not been adopted in purchase of Dustbin during the period 2012-16.
- 5 Tender for Purchase of cleaning material has not been awarded to the lowest quoted bidder.
- 6 Purchase of JCB, suction machine, fogging machine has been purchased during the year 2014-15 of total amounting Rs. 24,45,250/- but no documents related to these machines has been produced before us. VAT and performance security has not been deducted in purchase of fogging and suction machine.
- 7 Outstanding amount of Rs 2,22,908/- of badobasti of Vehicle entrance charges of Makhdumpur Nawabganj road is yet to be recovered.
- 8 Outstanding amount of Rs 73,480/- of badobasti of Vehicle entrance charges of Makhdumpur paibigha road is yet to be recovered.
- 9 Labour cess has not been deducted from any bill of contract work.
- 10 Total outstanding amount of Mobile tower tax as on 31-03-2015 is Rs 24,77,464/-



#### 4) Finance :-

#### I. Budgetary Provisions and expenditure for the last three years:-

Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur, has not prepared budget for the financial year 2013 – 14, 2014 – 15 and 2015-16.

Year	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Revised/ Estimated Budget	No Budget prepared by the ULB.		e ULB.
Actual Expenditure	2,19,43,229.00	2,06,26,627.00	18,18,25,790.00
Savings(+)/Excess(-)	-2,19,43,229.00	-2,06,26,627.00	-18,18,25,790.00

#### II. Volume of transactions :-

Period	Budgeted 2015 -16	Previous Year (For one year) 2014-15	Corresponding Period of Previous Year	Current Period 2015-16	Cumulative for the current period
	N		ION		NO
(A) Opening Balance	5 Budg	4,39,61,724.70	NOT APPLICABLE	24,09,74,110.70	NOT APPLICABLE
(B) Receipts	et pre	21,76,39,013.00	CABLE	10,18,50,638.00	CABLE
(C) Total (A +B)	pared	26,16,00,737.70		34,28,24,748.70	
(D) Expenditure	Budget prepared by the ULB	2,06,26,627.00		18,18,25,790.00	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
(E) Closing Balance ( C -D )		24,09,74,110.70		16,09,98,958.70	

US Prasad & Co. (Chartered Accountants)



#### III. Bank Reconciliation

Since different scheme has been maintained in single cash book therefore segregation of balances of different Scheme as on 31st March 2016 cannot be determined.

Similarly, since through one bank account different schemes are being operated, the bank balance of a particular scheme on a particular date cannot be determined.

Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur, has not prepared Bank Reconciliation Statement. However, balance as on 31st March 2016 of different bank account is as below:-



#### IV. <u>Revenue Receipts</u>:-

#### **Revenue Receipts**

SI.	Name of	Bank A/C No	Bank	Scheme Name	Cash Book	Status of BRS
No.	bank	Bank A/C NO	Statement	Scheme Name	Cash Book	Status of BKS
1.	Treasury	P/L	6,73,51,285.00	P/L	6,73,51,285.00	Not Prepared
2.	SBI	33981157712	36,56,652.00	Samajik Surakha Pension	47,14,800.00	Not Prepared
3.	SBI	31689063331	8,46,614.00	General Fund	73,74,753.00	Not Prepared
4.	SBI	31689062598	12,62,699.00	Administrative Building	43,143.00	Not Prepared
5,	BOI	447810100009748	74,144.24	13 <sup>th</sup> Finance	73,437.00	Not Prepared
6.	BOI	447810110004901	3,37,19,114.00	State Plan	12,46,86,649.00	Not Prepared
7.	PNB	1615000100048525	1,52,00,515.92	BRGF	24,02,902.00	Not Prepared
8.	PNB	1615000100048516	6,49,439.42	City Manager Allowance	-2,61,171.00	Not Prepared
9.	PNB	16115000100023906	1,91,35,908.74	SJSRY	13,30,300.00	Not Prepared
10.	HDFC	50100083948693	25,54,272.00	NSDP	1,58,103.00	Not Prepared
11	MBGB	72050100104031	3,98,586.00	KabirAnthysthi	1,85,000.00	Not Prepared
12	MBGB	72050100123966	1,40,535.00	Ward Counsellor	-53,070.00	Not Prepared
13	Allahabad Bank	50273883956	3,11,16,564.00	Matching Grant	61,093.00	Not Prepared
14	Allahabad Bank	50298520618	28,28,625.00	Gram Complex Building	1,43,400.00	Not Prepared
15	BOI	444810110004984	17,34,243.00	4 <sup>™</sup> Financial	-8,42,785.00	Not Prepared
				Rastiya PariwarikLabh	10,000.00	Not Prepared
				PESAKAR	9,90,063.00	Not Prepared
				E Govt	17,538.00	Not Prepared
				14 <sup>th</sup> Finance	1,20,36,968.00	Not Prepared
				5 <sup>th</sup> Finance	1,57,37,410.00	Not Prepared
				Swacch Bharat Mission	7,31,557.00	Not Prepared
				Census	1,51,150.00	Not Prepared
				Pay Jal Nischay yojna	43,53,672.00	Not Prepared
				SfayeeBaybstha Sudhar	40,14,400.00	Not Prepared
	`			NaliGaliNischay Yojna	31,47,482.00	Not Prepared
*******	T	otal 1	8,06,69,197.32	Total	18,12,06,794.00	
<u>,</u>		erence	-,,,,	5,37,596.68		

US Prasad & Co. (Chartered Accountants)



Page 13

Period	Budgeted 2015-16	Previous Year 2014-15	Correspondi ng Period of Previous Year	Current Period 2015-16	Cumulative for the current period
a) Own Source					
Property Tax		1,686.00		-	
Assigned Revenue		7,24,516.00		70,74,495.00	
Fee & User /Other Charges		26,08,595.00		9,76,852.00	
b) Administrative Grant		-		-	-
c) Specific Grant (Scheme wise)		-		-	
i) 13th Financial		51,59,653.00		-	
ii) Matching Grand	1	-		-	
iii) Administrative Building		-		-	
iv) 4 th Financial	1	67,84,807.00			-
v) BRGF	1	26,65,959.00			-
vi) Bhawan Nirman Adi / General Fund	Budg	22,29,542.00		1,42,94,904.00	
vii) Nagaric Subhidha / State Plan	Budget has not been prepared by the ULB	4,64,98,500.00		1,85,51,482.00	
viii) S J S R Y	D		z	2,00,02,102.00	7
ix) City Manager	l ĝ	•	NOT APPLICABLE	3,23,070.00	NOT APPLICABLE
x) E – Govt.	l lên	•	Ap	2,70,000.00	₽
xi) Water Supply Fund	pro	7,34,46,400.00		-	PL
xii) Path shrirnodwar Fund	pa	8,08,54,152.00	A I	1,16,03,357.00	<u>S</u>
xiii) Gram Complex	l rec	-	3LE	2000.00	BLE
xiv) Ward Councillor	- b			2000.00	
Allwances	÷	1,52,400.00		84000.00	
XV) 5 <sup>th</sup> Finance	e C	-		1,57,37,410.00	
xvi) 14 <sup>th</sup> Finance	6	-		44,32,991.00	
xvii) Janganna (Census)		-		1,51,150.00	
xviii) SamajikSurakha					
Pension		-		96,87,506.00	
xix) RastriyaPariwarikLabh		-	F	2,80,000.00	
xx) Swacch Bharat Mission		-	ŀ	28,22,500.00	
xxi) Pay JalNischayyojna		-	ŀ	43,53,677.00	
xxii) SfayeeBaybsthaSudhar		-	ŀ	40,14,400.00	
xiii) RTGS (Scheme is not			ŀ		
declared by ULB)				71,90,844.00	·
Total(RS) a+b+c		22,11,26,210.00		10,18,50,638.00	



US Prasad & Co. (Chartered Accountants)

## V. Status of Implementation of Double Entry Accounting System

Accounting of Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur has not been properly maintained on the basis of Double Entry Accounting System for financial year 2013 -14, 2014 -15 & 2015 - 16.

## VI. Status of Municipal Accounts Committee; if meeting is held

Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur is yet to constitute Municipal Accounts Committee under section 98 of the Municipal Act, 2007.



## **Audit Observations**

#### I. Part - A

All Audit objections / irregularities which has monetary implication, particularly in following areas:-

#### I. Holding & Property Tax Collection

Audit Objective – As per Point No. – 4.4 of TOR

Criteria – Non - assessment of Property Tax/Holding Tax by the concerned ULB.

**Condition** – Property tax has been levied and collected on some property but assessment has not been done for property till date.

**Consequence / Effect / Impact** - Due to non-assessment of Property/Holding Tax, there is a revenue loss to ULB and there is no record / register to know total current & arrear demand of holding tax. Demand / Collection & Arrear Register have also not been provided to us for verification.

**Cause** – This happens due to lack of proper follow up and monitoring of activities of Tax Inspector / Collector by the concerned officers on regular interval

**Corrective Action / Recommendation** – There should be day-to-day monitoring on assessment and collection of taxes and also maintenance and updation of Demand & Collection Register on regular interval.

#### II. Mobile Tower Collection – Irregularity :-

Audit Objective – As per Point No. – 4.4 of TOR

**Criteria** – Tower Tax is taxes on Communication Tower & related structure as defined in BIHAR COMMUNICATION TOWERS AND RELATED STRUCTURES RULES, 2012. As per the rule, all operators are required to register with their concerned ULBs and pay registration fee & renewal charges on annual basis for communication tower erected within municipal area. Currently the registration fee for Nagar Panchayat is Rs. 30,000/- per tower and annual renewal fee is Rs. 8,000/- per annum per tower.



**Condition** –As per details provided to us there are total number of Mobile tower is 17 (Seventeen) including 8 antenna registered with this ULB up to 31.03.2016 and Rs. 23,17,456/-, is due to be recovered from these tower operators on account of Tower Tax.

**Consequence / Effect/ Impact** - Due to non-collection of Tower Rent there is a revenue loss to ULB.

**Cause** – This happens due to lack of proper follow up and monitoring of activities of concerned person of the ULB on regular interval. The ULB does not have proper mechanisms for supervision and monitoring of the Tower Rent due to which result in Revenue leakage.

**Corrective Action / Recommendation** – There should be proper monitoring and further steps are required tobe taken for collection of Mobile Tower Tax by concerned ULB.

#### III Advertisement Tax

Audit Objective – As per Point No. – 4.4 of TOR

Criteria – Non – levied of advertisement tax by the ULB.

**Consequence / Effect / Impact** - Due to non - levied of collection of advertisement tax, there is a revenue loss to ULB.

**Cause** – This happens due to lack of awareness of imposition of advertisement tax of concerned person of the ULB.

**Corrective Action / Recommendation** – There should be proper monitoring and further steps are required to be taken for collection of advertisement tax by concerned ULB.

IV. <u>Non / Short Deposit of Rs.2,19,612/-</u> :- Amount of Rs. 2,19,612/- collected during the Financial Year 2015-16 as shown in Daily Collection Receipt but the same is neither entered in Cash Book nor deposited in to bank by Md. Anwar Ansari (Tax Daroga) and Raja Ram Prasad (Head Clerk).

SI. No.	Date	Money Receipt	Name	Amount
1	08-04-15 to 15-07-15	1085-1099	Raja Ram Prasad	61,900.00
2	26-05-15	52-53	Md. Anwar Ansari	7,201.00

#### Internal Audit Report of Nagar Panchayat, Makhdumpur

		Total	Amount	2,19,612.00
9	08-03-16 to 28-03-16	4020 to 4025	Raja Ram Prasad	5,150.00
8	18-01-16 to 05-03-16	4004 to 4018	Raja Ram Prasad	27,660.00
7	15-01-16	4003	Raja Ram Prasad	1,00,000.00
6	14-08-15 to 31-08-15	4001-4002	Raja Ram Prasad	2,700.00
5	22-07-15 to 27-07-15	8515-8522	Md. Anwar Ansari	601.00
4	06-06-15	115-116	Md. Anwar Ansari	12,400.00
3	04-04-15	1352-1358	Md. Anwar Ansari	2,000.00

V Outstanding amount of Rs 73,480/- of badobasti of Vehicle entrance charges of Makhdumpur paibigha road is yet to be recovered.

#### Property Tax on 20 high value properties

Vł

Property tax has been levied and collected on some property but assessment has not been done for property till date. Therefore, Property tax on minimum 20 high value properties could not be determined.



#### II. Part - B

- A. As per Rule 136 of Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual 2014, every year budget should be prepared for receipts and expenditure in Performa 75, 77 and 80 but ULB has not prepared budget.
- B. As per Rule 18 of Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual 2014, voucher should be maintained serially for different schemes in different code, but it is not maintained accordingly. Vouchers are neither kept in guard file nor systematically arranged.
- C. It has been noticed during the audit that the following Books of Accounts & Subsidiary Registers has either not been maintained or not properly maintained (*Status as mentioned*).

SI. No.	Particulars	Status
1	Cash Book	Maintained
2	Subsidiary Cash Book	Maintained
3	Ledger Book	Not Maintained
4	Grant Register	Not Maintained
5	Advance Register	Not Maintained
6	Pay-Roll Register	Not Maintained
7	Vehicle LOG Book	Not Maintained
8	Store Register	Not Maintained
9	Fixed Assets Register	Not Maintained
10	Demand & Collection Register of	Not Maintained
	Property Tax, Mobile Tower Tax,	
	Shop Rent etc.	

- D. In ULB, internal control are either not in place or not working in respect of day to day working, payment of expenditure collection of revenue, tender etc.
- E. Non compliance of TDS, VAT, and Royalty & Labour cess relevant statute:-
  - TDS,VAT, Labour Cess and Royalty has been deducted but the same could not be verified whether deposited or not due to non-availability of deposit slip or challan.
- F. Pay-Roll Register has not been shown to us during the period of internal audit, thus we are unable to comment on deficiency in pay-roll system.

- G. Grant Register has not been maintained by the concerned ULB and upon enquiry of status of utilization certificate, the concerned officials has failed to provide above information.
- H. Inventory/ Stores Register and Fixed Assets Register have not been maintained in concerned ULB and in the absence of above register it is difficult to verify the same.
- I. Advance Register has not been maintained by the concerned ULB and in the absence of above register it is difficult to check or comments on adjustment and recovery of advances.



\_\_\_\_

## iii <u>PART – "C"</u>

#### **General Observations**

SI. No	Particular	Remarks/ Observation
1	Whether the postings for the entries in the books of original entry have been correctly made in the respective ledger accounts.	No, original entry is not correctly made in the respective ledger accounts.
2	Whether all the books of accounts and supplementary registers that are prescribed in the Accounts Manual / other applicable regulations have been properly maintained by the ULB.	No, except Main Cash Book & Subsidiary Cash Book no other book of accounts / other applicable regulation have been properly maintained by the ULB.
3	Whether the Quarterly Financial Statements have been compiled on the basis of theactual entries in the books of accounts.	No, Quarterly, Half yearly & Yearly Financial Statements have been no prepared by the Nagar Panchayat
4	Whether the period-end and reconciliation procedures prescribed have been carried out.	No, period-end and reconciliation procedures prescribed have not been carried out.
5	Whether the Bank Reconciliation statements have been prepared and are appropriate.	No, Bank Reconciliation statements have not been prepared by the Nagar Panchayat
6	Whether all grants from Government have been accounted at gross value with proper entries to various accounts.	Yes, except for the period 2012-13.
7	Whether all transactions (incomes, expenditures, assets and liabilities)are correctly classified and stated in sufficient detail.	No, all transactions (incomes, expenditures, assets and liabilities) were not correctly classified and stated in sufficient detail
8	Whether all grants sanctioned or received by the municipality during the year, have been accounted properly, and where any deduction is made out of such grants towards any dues of the ULB? Whether such deductions have been properly accounted.	Yes, Grant received during the year has been properly accounted and all deductions have been properly accounted.
9	Whether any Special Funds have been created as per the provision of any statute and whether the Special Funds have been utilized for the purposes for which they have been created.	No, Special fund has not been created by the ULB.
10	In respect of contracts that are in existence during the year, whether there are any deviations from the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority.	No irregularity has been found on work contract.
11	Whether the ULB is maintaining proper records showing full particulars, including quantitative details and situation of fixed assets; whether these fixed assets have been physically verified at reasonable intervals; whether any material discrepancies were noticed on such verification and if so, whether the same has been properly dealt with in the books of account.	No, Fixed asset register has not been maintained, so unable to comment on it.

DR 1 Chi ONH

12	Whether in case of leasehold property given by the ULB, lease rentals are collected regularly by the ULB and that the	
	lease agreements are renewed after their expiry.	
13	Whether physical verification has been conducted by the ULB at reasonable intervals in respect of stores.	conducted by the ULB at reasonable intervals.
14	Whether the procedures of physical verification of stores	No, Nagar Panchayat has not been maintained
	followed by the ULB are reasonable and adequate? If not,	
	the inadequacies in such procedures should be reported.	
15	Whether any material discrepancies have been noticed on	No physical verification has been done due
	physical verification of stores as compared to book records,	
	and if so, whether the same has been properly dealt with	to non availability of stock register.
	in the books of account.	
16	Whether proper procedures are in place to identify any	No physical verification has been done due
	unserviceable or damaged stores and whether provision for	
	the loss in this respect, if any, has been made in the	to non availability of stock register.
	accounts.	
17	Whether the valuation of stores is in accordance with the	No stock register has been found. Valuation of
	accounting principles laid down in the rules? Whether the	
	basis of valuation of stores is same as in the preceding year?	
	If there is any deviation in the basis of valuation, the effect	
	of such deviation, if material,	
	should be reported.	
18	Whether the parties to whom loans or advances have been	No advance register has been maintained, so
	given by the ULB are repaying the principal amounts as	we are unable to verify whether it is being
	stipulated and are also regular in payment of the interest	recovered regularly.
	and if not, whether reasonable steps have been taken by	
	the municipality for recovery of the principal and interest?	
19	Whether advances given to municipal employees and	No advance register has been maintained. so
	interest thereon are being regularly recovered.	we are unable to verify whether it is being
1		recovered regularly.
20	Whether there exists an adequate internal control	
	procedure for the purchase of stores, including	procedure for the purchase of stores,
	components, plant and machinery, equipment and other	components and assets.
	assets?	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
21	Whether applicable procurement rules and procedures are	Files related to procurement has not been
	being followed and if so, significant deviations should be	provided by the ULB during the course of
	identified and reported.	audit.
22	Whether the municipality is regular in depositing statutory	No, The Nagar Panchayat is not regular in
	dues including tax deducted at source, service tax, VAT,	depositing statutory dues. We observed that
	works contract tax, cess payable to the government etc.,	all such taxes deducted during the financial
	andif not, the nature and cause of such delay and the	year have been deposited in the month of
	amount not deposited.	March 2016.
23	Whether the municipality is regular in remittance of pension	No relevant document has been provided by
	and leave encashment contributions or any other amounts	the ULB.
	which the municipality is liable to remit towards the	
	retirement dues of its employees, including employees on	
1		
	deputation.	
24		We did not notice such expenses
24	deputation. Whether any personal expenses have been charged to the municipality' s accounts; if so,the details thereof.	We did not notice such expenses.

CHARTER ACCOUNT

\_\_\_\_

	are authorized by appropriate provision in the sanctioned budget, whether made originally or subsequently and are in all cases such as are authorized by law.	unable to comment on it.
26	Whether all revenue has been properly assessed, accounted for, collected and recovery action taken on timely basis.	No, all revenues from own sources have not been properly assessed, accounted for and collected. For exp. – Mobile Tower & Stamp Duties
27	Whether all sums due to and received by the Municipality have been brought to account within the prescribed time limits and are in all cases such as are authorized by law.	No, all sums due to and received by the Municipality have not been brought to account within the prescribed time limits.
28	Whether in respect of all bills for charges on account of all works and other expenditure, proper certificates have been furnished in support of them and that no deviation has been made for the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority.	Yes, we observed that all bills for charges of account of all works and other expenditure, proper certificates have been furnished in support of them and that no deviation has been made for the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority.
29	Whether the amounts received as specific grants have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the grant sanction order.	Yes, The amount received as specific grants have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the grant sanction order.
30	Whether bio-metric devices and payroll software are used at the ULB. If not whether there is satisfactory system of pay-roll accounting; otherwise mention the key deficiencies of the system.	No, bio-metric devices and payroll software system are not used by the ULB.



for U. S. Prasad & Co. Chartered Accountants

7 (٢

Partner M. No. 418631

Page 23

Manoj Kumar