Internal Audit Report – Nagar Panchayat- Maharajganj

INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT OF NAGAR PANCHAYAT – MAHARAJGANJ

For the Period from April-2016 to March - 2017

INTERNAL AUDIT CONDUCTED BY

THAKUR BHUWANESH & ASSOCIATES

Chartered Accountants 2nd Floor, Nathani Market Sutapatti, Muzaffarpur Bihar-842001

Audit Conducted from:

Report Issued on

NAGAR PANCHAYAT- MAHARAJGANJ (SIWAN)

INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2016-17

INDEX

Section	Contents	Page	Page No.		
		From	То		
I	Audit Methodology and Approach				
II .	Executive Summary				
III	Detailed Audit report				
IV	Audit Observations – Part-A				
v	Audit Observations – Part-B				
VI	Audit Observations – Part-C				
VII	Annexure to Audit report				
VIII	Report on Field Survey of 80 high value properties				

INTERNAL AUDITOR'S REPORT

Joint Secretary and Additional Project Director Urban Development & Housing Department, Patna

We have conducted internal audit of the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers of Nagar Panchayat – Maharajganj (ULB) for the period of 01-04-2016 to 31-03-2017 in terms of agreement with UD&HD dated 21.03.2016. These Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers are the responsibility of Management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers based on our audit.

We have conducted our audit in accordance with the **Standard on Internal Audit (SIA) formulated by ICAI**. Those Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers are free from material misstatement. An audit includes examining, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosure in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our observations. The salient points of the scope covered by the internal audit are as follows:

- 1. The effectiveness of accounting system.
- 2. Compliance with the legal and statutory requirements.
- 3. Risk-based review and evaluation of the Internal Control.
- 4. Compliance of Bihar Municipal Act.
- 5. Compliance of Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual.

Moreover, our scope of examination also covered the requirements of the specific points as spelled out by the management of the Urban Development & Housing Department. The result and recommendations of our internal audit are set out in Scope, Observations and Annexure of our report.

The statutory auditor of the Urban Development & Housing Department expresses opinion as to the true and fair view of the financial statements. We have not expressed any opinion on the financial statements and accordingly, this report should not be constructed as our opinion on the financial statements.

ForThakurBhuwanesh& Associates

Chartered Accountants. FRN: 019690N

(CA. Rajesh Kumar Jha) Partner M.NO. 412318 Date:



Internal Audit Report – Nagar Panchayat- Maharajganj

Financial Year 2016-17 (Q4)

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

ichayat – Maharajganj 🕠
ar Pan

Period covered under current audit

: 01.04.2016 to 31.03.2017

Name of Chief Executive Officer for the period under Audit

: Sh. Basant Kumar

2. Results and Findings

22

The following records are available:
 Cash Book with Subsidiaries Cheque issue register Remittance Register Log Book of Vehicle Salary Register Daily collection Register of Taxes/user charges /Fees & Fines Staff Cooperation during the Audit period is satisfactory. Physical verification of movable Fixed Assets has been carried out during performance audit.
 Rs. 15550140 due to be collected on account of mobile tower. (detail attached). Tax Collector generally deposits the collection amount with the interval of 3-7 days. As per Rule 27 of BMAR it should be deposited on daily basis. There are some lapses in internal control w.r.t collection of taxes. Interest @1.5% not imposed on delay payment of Tower registration fees and annual fees beyond 30 days. After 5 years there should be increase by 25% in renewal fee has not been demanded. Periodical checking of Books of accounts by Chairman or vice chairman has not been done. There is lack of internal control on deposit of various taxes. Taxes such as VAT, I.T. Royalty etc are collected from time to time but its payments are still unpaid. The details are as under (up to 31-03-2016): Grant Register is not being maintained hence it is difficult to find unutilized grant at any point of time. Bank Reconciliation Statement is not prepared hence it any to the prevent of the details are as difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund, it any to the prevent of the details are as the difficult to monitor possible mi-statement of fund to the prevent of the details are as the dif

3. Opinion

Overall opinion of the Audit team about the functioning of the Municipality	In our opinion some improvements are required in the functioning of the Municipality due the following reasons
	Most of the prescribed Books of accounts are not maintained
	 Internal Control w.r.t. collection of taxes, monitoring of grant, monitoring of advances, monitoring of schemes etc. is very poor.
	Collection from own sources is very poor.
	 Grant received for various purposes are not utilized on timely basis.
	 There are serious lapses in deduction and deposit of statutory dues such as TDS, VAT, Royalty, Labour cess etc.

4. Audit Recommendations

 The recommendations of Audit team 	We recommend the followings:
on the observed weakness	 All the prescribed books of accounts and Registers should be prepared on real time basis
	 Bank reconciliation Statement should be prepared on monthly basis
	 Cashier Cash Book should be maintained and written on daily basis.
	 Collection by tax collector should be deposited on daily basis.
	Grant Register should be prepared
	 All the statutory dues should be deposited on timely basis and returns prescribed under the statute should also be deposited on timely basis.
	 Demand Collection Register of all the wards should be prepared.
	Property tax register should be prepared as per new assessment.
	Collection from own sources should be improved. MUZAFFARPUR

Comments from Management

Comment from Management	The audit report has been discussed with us;
	we will try our best to remove the
	irregularities pointed out in the audit report.
	For Nagar Panchayat –Maharajganj
	(Executive Officer)
	(Executive Officer)

5. Acknowledgment

We are thankful to Mr. Basant Kumar (Executive Officer) and Mr. P. K. Gautam (Head Clerk) for their support during the period of our audit. We are also thankful to the support staffs of the municipality for their cooperation extended to us during the period of our audit.

ForThakurBhuwanesh& Associates Chartered Accountants. FRN: 019690N

(CA: Rajesh Kumar Jha) Partner M.NO. 412318 Date:

MUZAFFARDI d Acco

Detailed Audit Report

1. Introduction

The Internal audit of Nagar Panchayat covering period from 1stApril 2016 to 31st March 2017 was conducted by following persons under guidance of CA. Rajesh Kumar Jha

Mr. ShashankShekhar

Mr. Amit Azad

i.

ii.

2. Administration

The present body of the ULB has taken charge on 12th October 2012. The incumbency in the key administrative and executive position was as under:

Smt. Sharda Devi, Chairman from 12th October'2012 to till date, Mr.BasantKumarExecutive officer from 06.09.2015 to till date.

SI.	Particula	Total	Total No.	Total	Total	Tota	Total	No. &
N	rs of	No. of	of audit	No.	No. of	1	No. of	date of
0.	Audit	Audit '	paras	of	audit	amo	outstan	Compli
-	and date	Paras.	where	audit	paras	unt	ding	ance
-	of		necessary	paras	where	of	paras	report
-	report .		improve	wher	recov	Reco	where	
			ment/	e	ery	very	no	
÷	- 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12		correctiv	recov	has	very	action	
			e	ery of	been		has	
0.2					made			
			measure	cash	made		been	
			is	is			taken	
			required	prop				
				osed				
1.	Audit	16	9	5	1	826	6	Not
	report					2		availab
	No.			·				le/sho
6	737/14		£					wn to
-							· · · ·	
	-15							us.

3. Review of outstanding audit paras : Status of AG Audit Observations are as under:

Details of outstanding paras where no action has been taken

Para No.	Particulars			
Para2	In two cases work has not been completed on time. Amount			
•	comes to Rs. 68179/ Recovery for the same yet to be made.			
Para 3	Advance of Rs. 6,95,900/- yet to be adjusted.			
Para 4	Log Book of Vehicle not maintained.			
Para 5	Losses incurred of Rs. 77,900/ due to non arrangement			
1	(Sariat) of Loading and Over Loading and Toilet.			
Para 8	Rs. 6,26,000/- outstanding up to March 2014 on account of			
-	mobile tower yet to be realized.			
Para 9	Rs. 28,800 on account of arrangement money of Sairat yet to			
	be realised.			



4. Status of Audit Observation is as under : Internal Audit 2015-16

Sl. No. Particulars of audit and date of report		Audit Observation	Compliance		
1.	Annual Audit Report 2015- 16	Rs. 1454140.00 due to be collected on account of mobile tower. (detail attached).			
2.	-Do-	Labour cess not imposed Rs. 33030.00 (detail attached).			
3.	-Do-	Rs. 58,011.00 on account of penalty @1.5% is yet to be collected on Tower Tax up to previous year.			

5. Finance

١.

П.

Budgetary provisions and expenditure for the last three years

Year	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Final/ Revised Budget	1,01,21,47,112	1024328554.00	
Actual Expenditure	1,96,33,407	46265246.00	
Savings (+)/ Excess(-)	99,25,13,705	978063308.00	

Volume of transactions

Period	Budgeted F.Y. 16- 17	Previous year (F.Y. 15-16)	Corresponding period of previous year		Cumulative for the current period (F.Y. 15- 16)
Opening Balance	204461763	4,47,76,495.84	4,47,76,495.84	118596675	118596675
Receipts	11069575	12,00,85,425.00	12,00,85,425.00	144048052	144048052
TOTAL	215531338	16,48,61,920.84	16,48,61,920.84	262644727	262644727
Net Expenditure	758873	4,62,65,246.00	4,62,65,246.00	172558402	172558402
Closing Balance	214772465	11,85,96,674.84	11,85,96,674.84	90086325	90086325

III. Bank Reconciliation

Preparation of Bank Reconciliation Statement is not in practice; however we have verified the cashbook balance and pass book balance and found the same in order except a sum of Rs. 836059.25.Scheme wise Bank Account has not been maintained.

IV. Revenue Receipts

Period	Budgeted F.Y. 2015- 16	Previous year (For One year)	Corresponding period of previous year	Current Period	Cumulative for the current period
A.Own Source				<i>n</i>	
Property Tax	2358096	. 517000	517000	905000	905000
Assigned revenue	10357710	621401	621401	5760222	5760222
Others (Fees & User Charges)	32003448	150000	150000	428900	428900
(b) Administrative Grant					
SGRY	-				
12 th Finance		5641872	5641872		
13th Finance Commission Grant		1849411	1849411	93949	93949
4th Finance Commission Grant		8016179	8016179	4768700	4768700
BRGF		. 5742	5742	15632	15632
KabirAntyeshti	•			691896	691896
Allowances of Ward Pasad		2254200	2254200		
14 th Finance		5641872	5641872	26418550	26418550
5 th Finance		9965611	9965611	20833592	20833592
Fixed Assets					-
Bank Interest			*	14739	14739
House for All	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			64491005	64491005
Ward Parshad Salary				693102	693102
Salary	×			633756	633756
Drainage	12	2254200	2254200	645813	645813
CM Water Supply				6032562	6032562
SBM				11620634	11620634

V. Status of Implementation of Double Entry Accounting System

Till date Double Entry Accounting System is not operational in the Nagar Panchayat.

M/S Manmotion Singh & Co., Chartered Accountants have been appointed by the UD & HD for implementation of Double Entry Accounting System in the Nagar Panchayat, Work in progress, actual status not able to explain us.

I. Status of Municipal Accounts Committee; if meeting held

As per section 98 of Bihar Municipal Act, 2007 it is necessary for the Municipality to constitute a Municipal Accounts Committee at its first meeting in each year or as soon as may be at any meeting subsequent thereto, but no Municipal Accounts Committee has been constituted by the N.P. till the date of our audit.

6. Audit Observations

PART- A

١.

All audit objections/ irregularities which have monetary implication, particularly in following areas:

a.	a. Leakage of own source revenue	Mobile Tower:
	either due to wrong assessment or	Nobile Tower:
	non- levy of property tax, mobile	i) Rs. 4625460.00 due to be collected on account of
	tower tax, rent on municipal	mobile tower.
	properties, advertisement tax fees	ii) Rs. 69381.00 on account of penalty @1.5% is yet to
	etc.	be collected on Tower Tax for this year.
		Property Tax and other Internal Sources
·		Rs. 7094122.00 has been collected form
		internal sources by this year.
		Property Tax:
		Labourcess @ 1% above construction of Rs. 10
		lakhs not imposed due to there is loss of of
		revenue.
	b. Excess payment against bill, lack of	We have checked the averagities and the U
	prudence in payment against	We have checked the expenditure vouched all above Rs. 10000.00 but no any objectionable
	voucher, inefficiency in controls	thing noticed.
	resulting loss to ULBs	thing noticed.
	c. Report on findings of field survey	Field survey of 20 high value properties has
•	of Property Tax of minimum 20 high	been conducted by us a report thereon is
	value properties	attached inAnnexure

PART-B

All audit objections/ irregularities which have no monetary implication, but significant violation of Act, Rules & directives of UD & HD. Mention the reference Act & Rules wherein remedial measures is required. In this part auditor should report in respect of –

	2	Non- maintenance of books of accounts	1	The Nagar Danchaust is maintaining anth Cash	
	a.			The Nagar Panchayat is maintaining only Cash	
		, subsidiary registers		Book/ Bank Book	
				As per Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual	
				Following primary Books of accounts are	
		•		required to be maintained :	
		·	1.	Cash Book (Form Gen-IA)	
		•	2.	Bank Book (Form Gen-IB)	
			3.	Journal Book (Form Gen-2)	
			4.	Ledger (Form Gen-3)	
				Journal Book and Ledger are not maintained.	
				In addition to above following other General	
				Registers and forms are required to be	
				maintained but the same are not being	
				maintained.	
				1 Receipt GEN-8	
				2 Receipt Register GEN-9	
				3 Statement on Status of Cheques Received	
				GEN-10	
				4 Collection Register GEN-11	
				5 Memorandum of Collection GEN-12	
				6 Summary of Daily Collection GEN-13	
				7 Register of Bills for Payment GEN-14	
				8 Payment Order GEN-15	
•				9 Cheque Issue Register GEN-16	
				10 Register of Advance GEN-17	
				11 Register of Permanent Advance GEN-18	
				12 Deposit Register GEN-19	
				13 Summary Statement of Deposits Adjusted GEN-20	
				14 Demand Register GEN-21	
				15 Bill for Municipal Dues GEN-22	
				16 Summary Statement of Bills Raised GEN-23	
				17 Register of Notice Fee, Warrant Fee, Other	
				Fees GEN-24	
				18 Summary Statement of Notice Fee, Warrant	
				Fee, Other Fees GEN-25	
				19 Register of Refunds, Remissions and Write- offs GEN-26	
				20 Summary Statement of Refunds and	
				Remissions GEN-27	
		· · · ·		21 Summary Statement of Write-Offs GEN-28	
				22 Statement of Outstanding Liability for	

	· · ·	GEN-34 28 Function-wise Expense Subsidiary Ledger
		GEN-35
		29 Asset Replacement Register GEN-36
	·	30 Register of Public Lighting System GEN-37
b.	Irregularity in procurement process	No any such observation.
c.	Non-compliance of directives by UD &	Non compliance of the direction issued by UD &
	HD , GOB	HD, GOB vide letter no. 3/UIG- Ref 10/2012- 1251 dated 12.07.2013 in respect of Solid Waste Management. No consumer tax has been imposed during the audit period.
d.	Non Compliance of Act & Rules	Various books of accounts and records, as
		provided in the Act and Rules are not
	· · ·	maintained.
e.	Lack of internal Control measures	• There are some lapses in internal control w.r.t
		collection of taxes.
		• Demand collection Register has not been
		prepared.
		• Dues from Tower tax has not been collected on
	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	time.
		Interest @1.5% not imposed on delay payment
		of Tower registration fees and annual fees
		beyond 30 days. After 5 years there should be
		increase by 25% in renewal fee has not been
		demanded.
		Periodical checking of Books of accounts by Chairman any instance has not been does
		Chairman or vice chairman has not been done.
		Grant Register is not being maintained hence it
	Les .	is difficult to find unutilized grant at any point of
		time.
		 Bank Reconciliation Statement has not been
		prepared.
	· · ·	
		Advance Register is not prepared hence it is difficult to me the following encount has been
		difficult to mo the following amount has been
		outstanding since long:
		1. Mr. Prithvi Ram Singh(J.E.)- Rs. 525000
	· · · ·	2. Mr. Om Prakash Gupta (Ex Ward
	•	Commissioner)- 22500
		3. Mr. Satyendra Kumar (Ex. Ward Commissioner)-
		22500
		4. Rajesh Kumar Pankaj(Ex Tax Collector)- Rs. 6400
	Non-compliance of TDS, VAT and other	i) There is lack of internal control on deposit of
	rolovant Statuto	

Internal Audit Report – Nagar Panchayat- Maharajganj

		still pending for payment. The details are as under: Rs 568492/- on
g.	Deficiency in Pay-roll System	P.F Act has not been followed by the office.
h.	Utilization of Grant and report on missing Utilization Certificates	Grant Register has not been prepared hence it is difficult to ascertain unutilized grant at any particular time. As explained to us Utilization certificates up to 31.03.2016 has been sent to the Government but copy of the same could not be provided to us.
i.	Physical verification of inventory/Stores	Inventory/Store Register has not been prepared and physical verification of inventory/stores has also not been done.
j.	Advances, their adjustment & recovery	Advance Register has been prepared but recovery for the same is still pending.
k.	Any other matters as may be prescribed in due course.	•

I. Part – C

General observations: Auditor should report the deficiencies noticed during their audit and recommendation ULB management to improve internal systems.

	Particulars	Comments
	Whether the postings for the entries in the	No, Ledger accounts have not been
	books of original entry have been correctly	prepared by the N.P.
	made in the respective ledger accounts	
*	Whether all the books of accounts and	No, except General Cash Book and
	supplementary registers that are prescribed in	Subsidiary Cash Book no other books of
1.	the Accounts Manual / other applicable	accounts have been maintained.
	regulations have been properly maintained	
	by the ULB;	
	Whether the Quarterly Financial Statements	No quarterly Financial Statements have
	have been compiled on the basis of the actual	been prepared by the N.P.
L.	entries in the books of accounts;	1 1 5
-	Whether the period-end and reconciliation	No, period-end and reconciliation
	procedures prescribed have been carried out.	procedures as prescribed have not been
		carried out.
	Whether the Bank Reconciliation statements	No, Bank Reconciliation Statements have
	have been prepared and are appropriate	not been prepared by the N.P.
	Whether all grants from Government have	Yes, all grants from Government have
	been accounted at gross value with proper	been accounted at gross value but all
	entries to various accounts	transactions are not correctly classified
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	with sufficient details.
ġ.	Whether all transactions (incomes,	No all transactions have been classified
	expenditures, assets and liabilities)are	as incomes and expenditure only assets
	correctly classified and stated in sufficient	and liabilities have not been recognized.
	detail;	0
÷	Whether all grants sanctioned or received by	Grant received during the year has been
	the municipality during the year, have been	properly accounted for. Information
-	accounted properly, and where any	about grant sanctioned and deductions
Y	deduction is made out of such grants towards	made out of such grant is not available
	any dues of the ULB? Whether such	with the ULB.
	deductions have been properly accounted;	
	Whether any Special Funds have been created	No Special fund has been created by the
*	as per the provision of any statute and	ULB.
	whether the Special Funds have been utilized	
1	for the purposes for which they have been	
1	created;	
	In respect of contracts that are in existence	On our test check we did not notice any
	during the year, whether there are any	major deviation.
	deviations from the sanctioned plans and the	
	estimates without the sanction of the	
	competent authority;	
1		
	Whether the LILR is maintaining proper	No record of fixed accests has not have
	Whether the ULB is maintaining proper records showing full particulars, including	No, record of fixed assets has not been
	quantitative details and situation of fixed	maintained by the ULB. Physical verification of fixed assets has not been
	assets; whether these fixed assets have been	
	physically verified at reasonable intervals;	done during the financial year under audit.
	whether any material discrepancies were	
	noticed on such verification and if so,	
	whether the same has been properly dealt	

Internal Audit Report –Nagar Panchayat- Maharajganj

Particulars	
in respect of stores;	Comments
Whether the procedures of physical	intervals. No
verification of stores followed by the ULB are	INO
reasonable and adequate? If not, the	
inadequacies in such procedures should be	
reported;	
Whether any material discrepancies have	No physical varification has been serviced
been noticed on physical verification of stores	No physical verification has been carried
as compared to book records, and if so,	out during the audit period.
whether the same has been properly dealt	
with in the books of account;	
Whether proper procedures are in place to	No there is no procedures are in place to
identify any unserviceable or damaged stores	No there is no procedures are in place to
and whether provision for the loss in this	identify any unserviceable or damaged stores
respect, if any, has been made in the accounts;	stores
Whether the valuation of stores is in	No valuation of stores has been done.
accordance with the accounting principles	No valuation of stores has been done.
laid down in the rules? Whether the basis of	
valuation of stores is same as in the preceding	
year? If there is any deviation in the basis of	
valuation, the effect of such deviation, if	
material, should be reported;	
Whether the parties to whom loans or	There is no case loans and advances
advances have been given by the ULB are	
repaying the principal amounts as stipulated	
and are also regular in payment of the interest	expenditure.
and if not, whether reasonable steps have	
been taken by the municipality for recovery	
of the principal and interest?	
Whether advances given to municipal	Advance Register has not been
employees and interest thereon are being	maintained so we are unable to verify
regularly recovered;	whether it is being recovered regularly or
	not.
Whether there exists an adequate internal control	No there does not exist any internal control
procedure for the purchase of stores, including	procedure for the purchase of stores,
components, plant and machinery, equipment and	including components, plant and machinery,
other assets?	equipment and other assets
Whether applicable procurement rules and	On our test check we did not notice any
procedures are being followed and if so,	major deviation.
significant deviations should be identified and reported.	
Whether the municipality is regular in depositing	No the municipality is and the
statutory dues including tax deducted at source,	No, the municipality is not regular in depositing statutory dues including tax
service tax, VAT, works contract tax, cess payable	deducted at source, service tax, VAT, works
to the government etc., and if not, the nature and	contract tax, cess payable to the government
cause of such delay and the amount not deposited;	etc.
Whether the municipality is regular in remittance	The municipality is not giving contribution to
of pension and leave encashment contributions or	P.F or pension Fund in prescribed rate and
any other amounts which the municipality is	procedure.
liable to remit towards the retirement dues of its	
employees, including employees on deputation; Whether any personal expenses have been	We did not notice
charged to the municipality' s accounts; if so, the	We did not notice any such expenses.
details thereof;	
Whether all the expenditure incurred by the	Yes on our test check we observed that all the
Municipality are authorized by appropriate	expenditure incurred by the Municipality are
provision in the sanctioned budget, whether made	authorized by appropriate provision in the

· Particulars	Comments
Municipality have been brought to account within the prescribed time limits and are in all cases such as are authorized by law;	has been observed.
Whether in respect of all bills for charges on account of all works and other expenditure, proper certificates have been furnished in support of them and that no deviation has been made for the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority;	Yes on our test check we observed that all bills for charges on account of all works and other expenditure, proper certificates have been furnished in support of them and that no deviation has been made for the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority
Whether the amounts received as specific grants have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the grant sanction order;	Yes on our test check we observed that amounts received as specific grants have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the grant sanction order
Whether bio-metric devices and payroll software are used at the ULB. If not whether there is satisfactory system of pay-roll accounting; otherwise mention the key deficiencies of the system.	No, bio-metric devices and payroll software are not used at the ULB. Pay roll system of the municipality is deficient as it does not contain leave records, details of deductions made etc.
Whether the grievance redressal mechanism for the ULB is sufficient.	No, we did not observe any grievance redressal cell functioning at the ULB.

_	Detail of outstanding from mobile tower up to year 2016-17									
SR. NO	WAR D NO	OWNER OF THE TOWER	INSTALL ATION DATE	REĠ. AMOU NT	2005 TO 2017	TOTAL (A)	INT(B)+ BEFORE FY	G.T(A+B)	COLLE CTION S UP TO 30TH APR 2016	AMOUN T DUE
1	9	AIRTEL	2005	30000	96000	126000	269054	395054		395054
2	.10	TOWER VISION	2005	30000	96000	126000	269054	395054		395054
3	5	IDEA	2007	30000	80000	110000	269054	379054		379054
4	4	UNINOR	2005	30000	96000	126000	269054	395054		395054
5	5	AIRTEL	2005	30000	96000	126000	248400	374400	30000	344400
6	1	AIRTEL	2005	30000	96000	126000	248400	374400	30000	344400
7	2	AIRTEL	2005	30000	96000	126000	248400	374400	30000	344400
8	2	AIRCEL	2007	30000	80000	110000	180936	290936	i.	290936
9	4	RELIENCE	2004	30000	104000	134000	340164	474164	- 5.1	474164
10	5	AIRTEL	2005	30000	96000	126000	269054	395054		395054
11	11	BSNL	2003	30000	120000	150000	426954	576954	-	576954
12	12	VODAFONE	2007	30000	80000	.110000	180936	290936		290936
				36000	113600		321946	471546		462546
13				0	0	1496000	0	0	90000	0

Detail of outstanding from mobile tower up to year 2016-17

Details 20 High Value Property

SL NO.	NAME	FATHER NAME	WARD NO	Тах	Observation
1	bhawanjeeprasad	l. manilal saw	2	100	Physical
2	muni devi	munnakugupta	5	. 100	Physical
3	mu. Chand	I. md mahajid	3	100	Physical
4	chandrikasharma	ram sraysharma	7	120	Physical
5	md.Muradali	raj amahadhushan	3	120	Physical
6	kiskinda saw	I. yadha saw	9	100	Physical
7	gautam saw	tapshi saw	12	100	Physical
8	harishankartiwari	janardhantiwari	7	100	Physical
. 9	mangalsingh	jhamansingh	1	100	Physical
10	aswanshaw.	I.shivjee saw	2	100	Physical
11	prameshwar ram	munilal ram	6	200	Physical
12	kanaihaprasad	l. paspati saw	6	200	Physical
13	dinanathsharma	jhanana miss	2	200	Physical
14	dhurupshankarprasad	l devi ram	8	100	Physical
15	harendrapr .singh	I dinanathsindh	7	100	Physical
16	dhurupnathpanday	badhnathpanday	2	200	Physical
17	rameshprashad	yadunandprashad	1	140	Physical
18	anjanikumar	bakelal saw	4	100	Physical
19	lilawatidevi	chandriyapr	1	100	Physical
20	rameshpr .singh	I. akswarsingh	5	100	Physical

HERE PROPERTY AND A STREET

shal A ing topi

		trijan.
		bill the second s
		1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -
		We Male g
191		