INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT

Of

SUPPORT PROGRAMME FOR URBAN REFORMS IN BIHAR (SPUR)

ULB: MARHAURA

FOR THE PERIOD

(01-04-2014 TO 31-03-2015)

Audited By:

U. S. Prasad & Co.

Chartered Accountants

4th Floor, 34, Kavi Raman Path, Nageshwar Colony, Boring Road, Patna 800001

> Mob. No. 09431015313 09835481516

E-mail: udaylalmani@gmail.com

carkthakur@gmail.com

To,

The Secretary, UD & HD
Urban Development and Housing Dept.
Govt. of Bihar
101, 1st Floor, Vikash Bhawan
New Secretariat
Bailey Road
Patna – 800 015 (BIHAR)

Dear Sir,

Sub: Internal Audit Report of NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MARHAURA for the Period 01.04.2014 to 31.03.2015.

We are submitting the audit report of Nagar Panchayat, MARHAURA for the period starting from 01-04-2014 to 31-03-2015 in reference to our appointment as Internal Auditor for Group 9 issued by support programme for urban reforms in Bihar (SPUR), Patha vide Notice to proceed No. SPUR-PMU/194/IA-140ULBs&SLMA/S-3/USP/2016/139/36 Dated 05th April 2016. Our scope of audit covers the requirement of specific points as spelled out in contract agreement entered with the management of SPUR.

Place- PATNA Dati- 417/16 RAD & CO. *SINVING.

for U.S. Prasad & Co.

Chartered Accountants

01

CA. Manoj Kumar

Partner

M. No.: 418631

NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MARHAURA INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT OF FY 2014-15

INDEX

Section	Contents	Page No.	
. 1	.Executive Summary	3	
2	Detailed Audit report		
	1. INTRODUCTION	. 8	
	2. ADMINISTRATION	8	
	3. REVIEW OF OUTSTANDING AUDIT PARAS	. 8	
	4. FINANCE	10	
	5. AUDIT OBSERVATIONS		
	Part -A	15	
	Part -B	18	
	Part –C	20	



Executive Summary

1. Introduction:-

Name of Municipality: - NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MARHAURA

Period covered under current audit: - 01-04-2014 to 31-03-2015.

Name of the Chief Municipal Officer for the period under audit:- Mr. Ashok Prasad Singh

2. Results and Findings

Strength observed during the audit engagement

- 1. General Cash Book has been prepared.
- 2. All Vouchers were passed properly and signed by the authorized person.
- 3. All vouchers have supporting documents.


Weaknesses observed in the functioning of office, maintenance of records etc. observed during the audit engagement

- 1. Double Entry Accounting System is not available in ULB.
- 2. Subsidiary Cash Book for some scheme has not been maintained.
- 3. Advance Register has not been maintained.
- 4. Annual Budget has not been prepared.



INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT OF NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MARHAURA

- 5. Grant register has not been maintained.
- 6. Royalty, VAT and Labour Cess has been deducted from payment made to contractor but not with prescribed rate and also not deposited till now.
- 7. Fixed Asset Register has not been maintained.
- 8. Daily collection amount has not been deposited into bank account in timely manner however it has been deposited into bank account at monthly basis.
- 9. Tower tax has not been collected since the establishment of the ULB.
- 10. Municipal Accounts Committee has not been constituted.
- 11. In BRGF, Royalty, VAT and Labour Cess has been deducted but not with prescribed rate and also not deposited till now.
- 12. There is lack of internal control with respect to collection of revenue e.g. License Fees, Holding tax, Sairat during the F.Y. 2014-15.
- 13. Log Book for Motor Vehicle has not been maintained.
- 14. Utilization Certificate has not been provided.
- 15. Bank reconciliation statement has not been prepared for any bank account.




3. Opinion

Core Municipal functions are performed by the ULB. So far the ULB is concerned they are not working properly, even internal control is poor. Revenue collection of the ULB is very poor it may be increased to much higher level. All cash collection from source of revenue is not deposited in the bank on same day. It is deposited into bank account at monthly basis. Due to lack of manpower there is delay in performing day to day work and also collection of ULB is negligible. There should be proper segregation of duties to perform day to day work in efficient manner. We find that rules and regulations are there but ULB is not following them properly. Most of the Books of Account as prescribed by BMAR are not maintained by the ULB. Fees and charges for the different categories cover under Sairat and License Fees have not been collected at all. Collections of Holding Taxes were negligible. There are serious lapses in deduction and deposit of statutory dues, such as VAT, Royalty, Labour Cess etc. Separate Bank Account should be maintained for each Scheme. Daily Collection Register is not maintained in proper manner.



4. Audit Recommendations

- a. Fixed Asset Register should be maintained.
- b. Daily Collection Register separately for each head should be maintained.
- c. TDS deduction & deposit thereof and e filling of TDS return should be timely and proper manner.
- d. In BRGF, Royalty and VAT has not been deducted with prescribed rate and also not deposited hence it should be deducted properly and deposited timely.
- e. Property / Holding tax collection and assessment should be done every year.
- f. Tax amount should be collected from Mobile tower.
- g. Annual Budget should be prepared.
- h. Daily collection amount should be deposited into bank account as prescribed in BMA 2007.
- i. Municipal Accounts Committee should be appointed.
- j. Budget prepared by the ULB should be approved by the state government.
- k. Timely passing of budget by board and onwards submission to the government.
- I. Books of account should be verified by EO on regular interval.
- m. Bank reconciliation statement should be prepared for all bank accounts.
- n. There is no provision for License Fee, advertisement tax in ULB. The government should notify a rule in respect of License Fee, advertisement tax which may be another source of revenue.



5. Comments from Management

The audit report has been discussed with us; we will try our best to remove the irregularities pointed out in the audit report.

For, NAGAR PANCHAYAT, MARHAURA

6. Acknowledgement

We thank to Mr. Ashok Prasad Singh (Executive Officer), for his support during the period of our audit. We are also thankful to accountant and other staff of the Nagar Panchayat for their cooperation during the period of audit.

Place:- Patna Date:- 04.07.2016 PASAD & CO

for, U S PRASAD & CO.

Chartered Accountants

Manoj Kumar (Partner)

M.NO:- 418631

Detailed Audit Report

1) Introduction

The Internal audit of Nagar Panchayat, MARHAURA covering the period from 01-04-2014 to 31-03-2015 was conducted by following persons under guidance of CA Manoj Kumar

- i) Bambam Kumar Gupta
- ii) Sipu Kumar

2) Administration


The present body of ULB has taken charge on 10.11.2015. The incumbency in the key administrative and executive positions was as under:

Smt. Urmila Devi, Chairman from 10.11.2015 to till now.

Ashok Prasad Singh, Executive Officer from 21.09.2015 to till now.

3) Review of outstanding audit paras: Status of Audit Observations is as under:

S.No.	Particulars of Audit And date of report	Total No. of Audit Paras.	Total No. of Audit Paras where necessary improvem ent/correc tive measure is required	Total No of Audit Paras where recovery of cash is proposed	Total No. of Audit Paras where recovery has been made	Total Amount of Recovery	Total No. of Outstandin g Para Where no action has been taken	No. & dated of compliance report
1	A. G. Report 253/13-14; 19-11-2013	20	5	Nil	Nil	Nil	6	Compliance yet to be done




Deficiency Pointed Out in AG Audit Report

- 1. As per scheme register and measurement book, measurement of work of hand pump installation done by junior engineer on 15/01/2010, whereas as per register and attached voucher all material related to hand pump installation purchased in between 05/03/2010 and 25/03/2010. It has not been clarified that work has been finished in January then after two month in March why purchase of material has been done.
- 2. According to cash book and vouchers Rs. 8,563/- has been paid for purchase of battery and dianemo (Ch no. 997328 dated 01/04/2010). Whereas after inspection of books of a/c of F.Y 2009-10 to 2011-12, it has been found that no expenses has been made on tractor running, fuels and drivers. It means that use of tractor has not been made in these years therefore expenses made on battery and dianemo is useless.
- 3. According to section 194 C of income tax act TDS should be deducted on payment made to contractors. TDS has not been deducted on payment of Rs. 10, 10, 848. 00.
- 4. Late fine should be deducted from the payment of contractors if work has not been completed on time. According to register and measurement book work order issued on 03/10/2009 and period was 2 month. It means work should must be completed on 02/12/2009 but as per measurement book work has been completed on 15/01/2010. Total delay was 44 days on which late fine not deducted @ ½ % or maximum 10% (10% of 10, 10, 848.00).
- 5. Tender of contractor should be rejected if documents or certificate required in tender is expire which has not been done.

CERTIFICATE	ISSUE DATE	EXPIRE DATE	TENDER DATE
Character certificate	15/12/2008	14/06/2009	25/08/2009
Labour License	27/03/2008	01/02/2009	25/08/2009

6. Payment of Rs. 10, 10,848.00 has done for installation of 29 hand pump. 4 tender received for work and out of these four only 1 contractor participated in tender and work allotted to that contractor on more than 1% of value. Work allotment on the basis of single contract should be properly authorized.




- 7. According to cash book of swarn jayanti urban employment scheme, payment of Rs. 51, 796.00 has been made to surendra Prasad chaurasiya but payment register not made available during the period of audit.
- 8. According to register bill of Rs. 6, 99,988.95 including vat Rs. 33, 333/- were presented for payment. VAT should be deducted at the time of payment to contractor and FORM C- III should be issued, which has not been done.

4) Finance:-

Budgetary Provisions and expenditure for the last three years:-

Year	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Final/Revised Budget		Budget has not pre	pared by the ULB.	
Actual Expenditure	305,871.00	876,375.00	3,244,653.00	12,691,086.00
Savings(+)/Excess(-)	-305,871.00	-876,375.00	-3,244,653.00	-12,691,086.00

II. Budgetary provisions and expenditure for the last three years for chart wise





II. Volume of transactions :-

Period	Budgeted 2014 -15	Previous Year (For one year) 2013-14	Corresponding Period of Previous Year	Current Period 2014-15	Cumulative for the current period
Opening Balance	Annı	1,49,04,745.14		1,91,27,054.14	
Receipts	- 8u	74,66,962.00		73,21,645.00	
(C) Total (A +B)	Annual Budget has not been prepared	2,23,71,707.14	Not Applicable	2,64,48,699.14	Not Applicable
Expenditure	ot been	32,44,653.00	able	1,26,91,086.00	abie
	prepared				
(E) Closing Balance (C -D)		19,127,054.14		13,757,613.14	•



III. Bank Reconciliation

Since different scheme has been maintained in single cash book therefore segregation of balances of different Scheme as on 31 st March 2015 can not be determined.

Similarly since through one bank account different schemes are being operated the bank balance of a particular scheme on a particular date can not be determined.


Bank Reconciliation Statement has not been prepared by the ULB. As per bank Statement & Cashbook balance as on 31st March, 2015 are given below:-

		Balance As On 31 ^s	^t March 2015.		
Sr No.	<u>Bank</u>	Bank Statement	Cash Book	A/c Type	A/c No.
1	Allahabad Bank	12,61,760.00		Saving A/c	50104330609
2	SBI	0.78		Saving A/c	01190009331
3	Allahabad Bank	48,39,823.00		Saving A/c	20929306082
4	Allahabad Bank	11,19061.00		Saving A/c	50110281347
5	Allahabad Bank	1,02,242.00		Saving A/c	50111361460
6	SBI Bank	4,14,491.81		Saving A/c	11467643698
7	PNB	5,412.00		Saving A/c	2290002100000430
8	BOI	9,38,934.00	25,80,036.00	Saving A/c	468820110000219
9	BOI	12,69,809.00	8,55,573.00	Saving A/c	468820110000217
10	Bank of Baroda	5000.00		Saving A/c	51630100000842
11	Bank of Baroda	29,37,360.00		Saving A/c	51630100000843
12	Bank of Baroda	39,588.00		Saving A/c	51630100001178
13	Bank of Baroda	33,642.00		Saving A/c	51630100001177
14	Bank of Baroda	5,387.00		Saving A/c	51630100001180
15	Bank of Baroda	11,134.00		Saving A/c	51630100001181
16	Bank of Baroda	35,031.00		Saving A/c	51630100001182
17	Bank of Baroda	5,750.00		Saving A/c	51630100001183
18	Bank of Baroda	725.00		Saving A/c	51630100001184
19	Bank of Baroda	3,062.00		Saving A/c	51630100001185
20	Bank of Baroda	8,786.00		Saving A/c	51630100001186
21	P/L Account	5,60,48,331.00	5,60,48,331.00	Tragery A/c	-
Total	4 1 821 4 1	6,90,85,329.59	5,94,83,940.00		
Difference	2	96.01.	389.59		



IV. Revenue Receipts

Period	Budgeted 2014-15	Previous Year (For one year) 2013-14	Corresponding Period of Previous Year	Current Period 2014-15	Cumulative for the current period
a) Own Source					-
Municipal Taxes				29,396.00	
Assigned Revenue		4,69,449.00	·	5,90,701.00	
Fees & User / Other Charges		6,98,591.00		2,53,602.00	
Total (a) :	•	11,68,040.00		8,73,699.00	,
b) Administrative Grant		-			
c) Specific Grant (Scheme wise)	Annu				
SJSRY	<u>a</u>	-			,
13th Finance	bud		-		7
Commission	get	-	<u> </u>	29,22,788.00	of
Pariwarik Labhh	hasr	-	Appli	60,000.00	App
Samajik Sudhar Pention	not be	22,71,784.00	Not Applicable	19,67,371.00	Not Applicable
Salary of Employees/Pension	en pre	2,40,000.00		₩.	
Social Census	pare	-		_	
BRGF	Annual Budget has not been prepared by the ULB	21,46,188.00			
4th Financial Kabir Antyeshti	e UEs	11,51,994.00		20,67,690.00	
Yojana		9,10,708.00		-	
Misc.		47,697.00		20,798.00	
Total (c) :	 - -	67,68,371.00		70,38,647.00	
Total(Rs.) (a+b+c)		79,36,411.00		79,12,346.00	



V. Status of implementation of Double Entry Accounting System:

Accounting of Nagar Panchayat, MARHAURA is not being maintained on the basis of Double Entry Accounting System for the F.Y – 2014-15.

VI. Status of Municipal Accounts Committee: if meeting is held:

Nagar Panchayat, MARHAURA is yet to constitute Municipal Accounts Committee under section 98 of the Municipal Act, 2007.



Audit Observations

I. Part - A

All Audit objections / irregularities which has monetary implication, particularly in following areas:-

i. Holding & Property Tax Collation

Audit Objective — As per Point No. — 4.4 of TOR

Criteria -Non-Collection of Property Tax/Holding Tax by the concerned ULB.

Condition - These taxes have not been assessed by the ULB for the Financial Year.

Consequence / Effect / Impact - Due to non-collection of Property/Holding Tax, there is a revenue loss to ULB and there is no record / register to know total current & arrear demand of holding tax. Demand / Collection & Arrear Register have also not been provided to us for verification.

Cause – This happens due to lack of proper follow up and monitoring of activities of Tax Inspector / collector by the concerned officers on regular interval.

Corrective Action / Recommendation – There should be day to day monitoring on Collection of Taxes and also maintenance and updating of Demand & Collection Register on regular interval.

ii Mobile Tower Collection - Irregularity :-

Audit Objective – As per Point No. – 4.4 of TOR

Criteria – Tower Tax is taxes on Communication Tower & related structure as defined in BIHAR COMMUNICATION TOWERS AND RELATED STRUCTURES RULES, 2012. As per the rule, all operators are required to register with their concerned ULBs and pay registration fee & renewal charges on annual basis for communication tower erected within municipal area. Currently the registration fee for Nagar Panchayat is Rs. 30,000/- per tower and annual renewal fee is Rs. 8,000/- per annum per tower.

Condition —As per details provided to us there are total 9 (Nine) Mobile Towers registered with this ULB up to 31.03.2015 and Rs. 4,14,000/-,(Detail are given below) is due to be recovered from these tower operators on account of Tower Tax.



Consequence Effect / Impact - Due to non collection of Tower Rent there is a revenue loss to ULB.

Cause — This happens due to lack of proper follow up and monitoring of activities of concerned person of the ULB on regular interval. The ULB does not have proper mechanisms for supervision and monitoring of the Tower Rent due to which result in Revenue leakage.

Corrective Action / Recommendation – There should be proper monitoring and further steps are required to be taken for collection of Mobile Tower Tax by concerned ULB.

Details of Outstanding Tower Rent of Marhaura ULB

Company Name	Sr. no	Tower Location	Perio d From	Perio d up to	Total Period (in years)	Rate @	License Fees	Total outstanding
		Muhalia Pakaha,	2013-	2014-				
Idea	1	Ward 6	14	2514- 15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
		Muhalla Pakaha,	2013-	2014-				
Airtel	2	Ward 6	2013- 14	2014- 15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
		·				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		10,000100
	- 3	Ghenuki Ward 11	2013- 14	2014- 15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
Aircel	4	Muhalla Pakaha, Ward है	2013- 14	2014- 15	Ž	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
	5	Veshya Tola Ward 14	2013- 14	2014- 15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
		Veshya Tola Ward	2013-	2014-				
BSNL	6	14	14	15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
Vodafon		Muhalla Pakaha,	2013-	2014-				
e	7	Ward 6	14	15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
Reliance	8	Muhalla Pakaha, Ward 6	2013- 14	2014- 15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00
	9	Ghenuki Ward 11	2013- 14	2014- 15	2	8,000.00	30000	46,000.00

Total 414,000.00

Penalty- (1) if an operator violates any provision of these Rules it shall be liable to be punished with fine @ 1.5% per month and his communication tower shall be sealed, seized and the operator may also be asked to remove the tower/antenna.



iii. Advertisement Tax

Audit Objective - As per Point No. - 4.4 of TOR

Criteria - Non - levied of advertisement tax by the ULB.

<u>Consequence / Effect / Impact</u> - Due to non - levied of collection of advertisement tax , there is a revenue loss to ULB.

<u>Cause</u> — This happens due to lack of awareness of imposition of advertisement tax of concerned person of the ULB.

<u>Corrective Action / Recommendation</u> — There should be proper monitoring and further steps are required to be taken for collection of advertisement tax by concerned ULB.

iv. Property Tax on 20 high value properties:-


Property tax has been levied and collected on some property but assessment has not been done for property till date. Therefore Property tax on minimum 20 high value properties could not be determined.



II. Part - B

- A. As per Rule 136 of Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual 2014, every year budget should be prepared for receipts and expenditure in Performa 75, 77 and 80. It is not prepared as per prescribed Performa by the concerned ULB.
- B. As per Rule 18 of Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual 2014, voucher should be maintained serially for different schemes in different code, but it is not maintaining accordingly. Vouchers are not keeping in guard file and not systematically arranged.
- C. It has been noticed during the audit that the following Books of Accounts & Subsidiary Registers has not been maintained or not properly maintained (Status as mentioned).

Sl. No.	Particulars	Status
1	Cash Book	Maintained but not in prescribed format.
2	Subsidiary Cash Book	Maintained but not in prescribed format.
3	Ledger Book	No Maintained.
4	Grant Register	No Maintained.
5	Advance Register	No Maintained.
6	Pay-Roll Register	No Maintained.
7	Vehicle LOG Book	No Maintained.
8	Store Register	No Maintained.
9	Fixed Assets Register	No Maintained.
10	Demand & Collection Register of Property Tax, Mobile Tower Tax, Shop Rent etc.	No Maintained.



- D. In ULB, internal control are not in place and not properly working in respect of day to day working, payment of expenditure collection of revenue, tender etc.
- E. Non compliance of TDS, VAT, Royalty & Labour cess relevant statute :-
 - VAT and Labour Cess have not been deducted from any payment made to contractor.
 - TDS and Royalty has been deducted but the same could not be verified whether deposited or not due to non-availability of deposit slip or challan.
- F. Pay-Roll Register has not been shown to us during the period of internal audit, thus we are unable to comment on deficiency in pay-roll system.
- G. Grant Register has not been maintained by the concerned ULB and upon enquiry of status of utilization certificate, the concerned officials has failed to provide above information.
- H. Inventory/ Stores Register and Fixed Assets Register have not been maintained in concerned ULB and in the absence of above register it is difficult to verify the same.
- Advance Register has not been maintained by the concerned ULB and in the absence of above register it is difficult to check or comments on adjustment and recovery of advances.



III. Part - C

General Observations

QUESTIONIER

Si.No.	Particular	Remarks/ Observation
1.	whether the amounts received as specific grants have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the grant sanction order;	Yes, specific grants have been utilised for the purposes.
2.	Whether deviation has been made for the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority;	No such irregularities was found.
3.	Whether all sums due to and received by the Municipality have been brought to account within the prescribed time limits;	Yes, same have been brought to account within the prescribed time limits.
4.	Whether all revenue has been properly assessed, accounted for, collected and recovery action taken on timely basis;	No, all revenue has not been assessed, accounted for but in recent time, recovery actions are being taken. License Fees and Mobile Tower tax have not been collected at all.
5.	Whether the year-end and reconciliation procedures prescribed have been carried out as per the rules;	No such reconciliation has been made.
6.	whether all the expenditure incurred by the Municipality are authorized by appropriate provision in the sanctioned budget;	Budget has not been made by ULB hence unable to comment on it.
7.	Whether the year-end and reconciliation procedures prescribed have been carried out as per the rules;	No such reconciliation has been made.
8.	Whether the Bank Reconciliation statements have been properly prepared for all the bank accounts of the ULB and the remedial actions including all correcting entries have been taken on timely basis;	
9.	Whether any personal expenses have been charged to the municipality's accounts;	No such Irregularities was found.



10	Whathar the municipality is many	I NI
10.	Whether the municipality is regular in remittance of pension and leave encashment	
	contributions or any other amounts	·
	which the municipality is liable to remit	
	towards the retirement dues of its	
	employees, including employees on	
	deputation;	
11.	Whether the municipality is regular in	The municipality has not deposited
	depositing statutory dues including tax deducted at source, service tax, VAT,	1
	works contract tax, cess payable to the	payable to the Govt. till now.
	government etc., and if not, the nature	
	and cause of such delay and the amount	
	not deposited;	
12.	Whether applicable procurement rules	No such rule and procedures are
	and procedures are being followed;	being followed.
13.	Whether there exists an adequate	Yes, There exists an adequate
13.	internal control procedure for the	internal control procedure.
	purchase of stores, including	internal control procedure.
	components, plant and machinery,	
	equipment and other assets;	
14.	Whether advances given to municipal	No advance money has been given
	employees and interest thereon are	to the employee for the personal
	being regularly recovered.	use but it has been given for the day
15.	regularly recovered; Whether the parties to whom loans or	to day Expenses. No such issue has been found.
	advances have been given by the ULB	No such issue has been found.
	are	
	repaying the principal amounts as	·
	stipulated and are also regular in	·
	payment of the interest and if not,	
	whether reasonable steps have been	
	taken by the municipality for recovery	
16.	of the principal and interest; Whether the valuation of stores is in	Yes, same is followed.
± ∨ 1	accordance with the accounting	res, same is followed.
	principles laid	
	down in the rules? Whether the basis of	
	valuation of stores is same as in the	
	preceding year? If there is any deviation	
	in the basis of valuation, the effect of	
	such deviation, if material, should be	
17.	reported; Whether proper procedures are in place	Unconsisoable or democrat starts
_/.	whether proper procedures are in place	Unserviceable or damaged stores



	to identify any unserviceable or	are available in ULB but No
	damaged stores and whether provision	procedure has been followed to
	for the loss in this respect, if any, has	identify unserviceable / damaged
	been made in the accounts;	stores.
18.	Whether any material discrepancies	No such material discrepancies have
	have been noticed on physical	been found.
	verification of stores as compared to	
	book records, and if so, whether the	
	same has been properly dealt with in	
1	the books of account;	
19.	Whether the procedures of physical	Yes, same are reasonable and
1 +2.	verification of stores followed by the	
	ULB are	adequate.
	reasonable and adequate? If not, the	
	inadequacies in such procedures should	
	be reported;	
20.	Whether in case of leasehold property	No such issue has been found.
	given by the ULB, lease rentals are	
	collected regularly by the ULB and that	
	the lease agreements are renewed after	
	their expiry;	·
21.	Whether the ULB is maintaining proper	Fixed asset register has not been
	records showing full particulars,	made, so unable to comment on it.
	including	
	quantitative details and situation of	
	fixed assets; whether these fixed assets	
•	have been physically verified at	·
	reasonable intervals; whether any	
	material discrepancies were noticed on	
	such verification and if so, whether the	
	same has been properly dealt with in	·
	the books of account;	
22.	In respect of contracts that are in	No such Irregularities was found.
	existence during the year, whether	*
	there are any	·
	deviations from the sanctioned plans	
	and the estimates without the sanction	
	of the competent authority;	
23.	Whether any Special Funds have been	Yes
	created as per the provision of any	
	statute and whether the Special Funds	
	have been utilized for the purposes for	ļ
٠	which they have been created;	
24.		Yes
<u>۴</u> ٠٠٠	3	162
	received by the municipality during the	



	year, have been accounted properly,	
	and where any deduction is made out of	
	such grants towards any dues of the	
	ULB? Whether such deductions have	
	been properly accounted;	
25.	Whether all transactions (incomes,	No
	expenditures, assets and liabilities) are	
	correctly classified and stated in	
	sufficient detail;	
26.	Whether all grants from Government	No
	have been accounted at gross value	
	with proper entries to various accounts;	
27.	Whether the Bank Reconciliation	Bank Reconciliation statements have
	statements have been prepared and are	not been prepared.
	appropriate;	
28.	Whether the period-end and	No such reconciliation has been
	reconciliation procedures prescribed	made.
	have been carried out;	
29.	Whether the Quarterly Financial	No
	Statements have been compiled on the	
	basis of the actual entries in the books	
	of accounts;	
30.	Whether all the books of accounts and	No, all the books of accounts and
	supplementary registers that are	registers haven't been maintained as
	prescribed in the Accounts Manual /	prescribed in the Accounts manual.
	other applicable regulations have been	:
	properly maintained by the ULB;	
31.	Whether the postings for the entries in	No
	the books of original entry have been	
	correctly made in the respective ledger	
	accounts;	

Place-PATNA Decti - Al7/16



for, U. S. Prasad & Co.

Chartered Accountants

W.

Manoj Kumar

Partner M. No. 418631