INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT OF NAGAR PARISHAD -KHAGARIYA

For the Period from April-2016 to March - 2017

· INTERNAL AUDIT CONDUCTED BY

THAKUR BHUWANESH & ASSOCIATES

Chartered Accountants 2nd Floor, Nathani Market Sutapatti, Muzaffarpur Bihar-842001

Audit Conducted from:

Report Issued on

NAGAR PARISHAD- KHAGARIYA (KHAGARIYA) INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR (2016-17) Q4

INDEX

Section	Contents	Page No.		
		From	To	
I	Audit Methodology and Approach	3	3	
II	Executive Summary	4	7	
III	Detailed Audit report	8	11	
IV	Audit Observations – Part-A	12	12	
V	Audit Observations – Part-B	13	17	
VI	Audit Observations – Part-C	18	20	
VII	Annexure to Audit report			
VIII	Report on Field Survey of 80 high value properties			

INTERNAL AUDITOR'S REPORT

Joint Secretary and Additional Project Director Urban Development & Housing Department, Patna

We have conducted internal audit of the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers of Nagar Parishad – Khagaria (ULB) for the period of 1-04-2016 to 31-03-2017 in terms of agreement with UD&HD dated 21.03.2016. These Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers are the responsibility of Management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers based on our audit.

We have conducted our audit in accordance with the Standard on Internal Audit (SIA) formulated by ICAI. Those Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers are free from material misstatement. An audit includes examining, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosure in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our observations. The salient points of the scope covered by the internal audit are as follows:

- 1. The effectiveness of accounting system.
- 2. Compliance with the legal and statutory requirements.
- 3. Risk-based review and evaluation of the Internal Control.
- 4. Compliance of Bihar Municipal Act.
- 5. Compliance of Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual.

Moreover, our scope of examination also covered the requirements of the specific points as spelled out by the management of the Urban Development & Housing Department. The result and recommendations of our internal audit are set out in Scope, Observations and Annexure of our report.

The statutory auditor of the Urban Development & Housing Department expresses opinion as to the true and fair view of the financial statements. We have not expressed any opinion on the financial statements and accordingly, this report should not be constructed as our opinion on the financial statements.

For Thakur Bhuwanesh& Associates

Chartered Accountants.

FRN: 019690N

(CA. Rojes Kumar Jha) Partner

M.NO. 412318

Date:

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

Name of the Municipality	Nagar ParishadKhagaria
	01.04.2016 to 31.03.2017
Name of Chief Executive Officer for	Smt. PoonamKumari
the period under Audit	1

2. Results and Findings

Strength observed during the audit engagement	 The following records are available: Cash Book with Subsidiaries Cheque receipt register Remittance Register Log Book of Vehicle Salary Register Daily collection Register of Taxes/user charges /Fees & Fines Staff Cooperation during the Audit period is satisfactory. Physical verification of movable Fixed Assets has been carried out during performance audit.
Weakness observed in the functioning of office, maintenance of records etc. observed during the audit engagement.	 Tax Collector generally deposits the collection amount with the interval of 3-7 days. As per Rule 27 of BMAR it should be deposited on daily basis otherwise a sum of Rs. 5000/- may be imposed for delayed deposit. There is lack of internal control w.r.t collection of taxes. Dues from Tower tax has not been collected on time. Interest @1.5% not imposed on delay payment of Tower registration fees and annual fees beyond 30 days. After 5 years there should be increase by 25% in renewal fee has not been demanded. Periodical checking of Books of accounts by Chairman or vice chairman has not been done. There is lack of internal control on deposit of various taxes.

•	Grant	Register	is	not	being	maintained
	hence	it is difficu	ılt t	o find	l unutil	ized grant at
	any po	int of time	Э.			

- Bank Reconciliation Statement is not prepared hence it is difficult to monitor possible fraud, if any.
- Advance Register is not prepared hence it is difficult to monitor for advances given and adjustment thereof.

3. Opinion

Overall opinion of the Audit team about the functioning of the Municipality

The overall functioning of the Municipality is very poor due to following reasons:

- Most of the prescribed Books of accounts are not maintained on proper format.
- Internal Control w.r.t. collection of taxes, monitoring of grant, monitoring of advances, monitoring of schemes etc. is very poor.
- Collection from own sources is very poor.
- Grant received for various purposes are not utilized on timely basis.
- There are serious lapses in deduction and deposit of statutory dues such as TDS, VAT, Royalty, Labour cess etc.

4. Audit Recommendations

The recommendations of Audit team on the observed weakness

We recommend the followings:

- ✓ All the prescribed books of accounts and Registers should be prepared on real time basis
- ✓ Bank reconciliation Statement should be prepared on monthly basis
- ✓ Cashier Cash Book should be



maintained and written on daily basis.

- Collection by tax collector should be deposited on daily basis.
- ✓ Grant Register should be prepared
- ✓ All the statutory dues should be deposited on timely basis and returns prescribed under the statute should also be deposited on timely basis.
- Demand Collection Register of all the wards should be prepared.
- ✓ Property tax register should be prepared as per new assessment.
- ✓ Collection from own sources should be improved.



5. Comments from Management

Comment from Management	The audit report has been discussed with us;
	we will try our best to remove the
	irregularities pointed out in the audit report.
1	
	For Nagar Parishad- Khagariya
	(Everything Officer):
	(Executive Officer)

6. Acknowledgment

We thank Mrs PoonamKumari (Executive Officer) andMr.Vikash Kumar (Head Clerk) for their support during the period of our audit. We are also thankful to Tax Daroga and support staffs of the municipality for their cooperation extended to us during the period of our audit.

ForThakurBhuwanesh& Associates

Chartered Accountants.

FRN: 019690N

(CA. Rajesh Kumar Jha) Partner

M.NO. 412318

Date:

DETAILED AUDIT REPORT

1. Introduction

The Internal audit of (N.P. Khagariya) covering the period from 01.04.2016 .to 31.03.2017 was conducted

by following persons under guidance of CA. Rajesh Kumar

- 1. Prakash Kumar
- 2. ShashiShekhar

2. Administration

The present body of the ULB has taken charge on 01.04.13. The incumbency in the key administrative and executive positions was as under:

- 1. Shri. Manoshar Kumar Yadav, Chairman From 01.04.13 to till date
- 2. Smt.PoonamKumariExecutive officer From 02.09.2015 to till date

3. Review of outstanding audit paras: Status of Audit Observation is as under: AG audit for the year 2013-14

SI. No.	Particulars of audit and date of report	Total No. of Audit Paras.	Total No. of Audit Paras where necessary improvement/corrective measure is required	Total No. of Audit Paras where recovery of cash is proposed	Total No. of Audit Paras where recovery has been made	Total Amount of Recovery	Total No. of outstanding para where no action has been taken	No. & dated of compliand report
1.	AG Audit- no. 728/14-15	20	20	5	1	1060	6	

Details of outstanding paras where no action has been taken

Para No.	<u>Particulars</u>			
Para 3	Excess Payment for Hand pump Installation Rs. 1.94 lacs			
Para 14	Non realisation of Balanced Holding tax Rs. 2112380			
Para 13	Non Preparation of Balance Sheet			
Para 7	Irregular Payments for cleaning works			
Para 11	Payment of Salary and wages for un Sanctioned posts Rs. 9.28 lacs			
Para 15	Rent for market due Rs. 242291.00			



B. Status of Audit Observation is as under: Internal Audit 2015-16

SI. No. Particulars of audit and date of report		Audit Observation	Compliance
1.	Annual Audit Report 2015- 16	Rs. 7379303.00 collected against due of Rs 12532784.00 for holding tax.	Tax collection is in progree
2.	-Do-	Tax Collector generally deposits the collection amount with the interval of 3-7 days. As per Rule 27 of BMAR it should be deposited on daily basis otherwise a sum of Rs. 5000/- may be imposed for delayed deposit.	No Compliance
3Do-		Total Rs. 1573000 is pending a communication tower registration tax. attached in Annexure	No Compliance
4.	-Do-	Rs. 23595.00 on account of penalty for late @1.5% is yet to be collected on Tower Tax.	No Compliance
5.	-Do-	There is lack of internal control on deposit of various taxes.	No Compliance



4. FINANCE:

I. Budgetary provision and expenditure for the last three years

Year	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Final/Revised Budget	203022500	24092694	600275600
Actual Expenditure	61448673	21285738	37888498
Savings(+)/Excess(-)	141573827	2806956	562387102

II. Volume of transaction

	Period	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015-	Correspondin g period of	Current Period (2016-	Cumulative for the current period
			16)	Previous year	17)	
	Opening balance	200284116	174263015	174263015	188474297	188474297
			121940916	121940916	44475689	44475689
L	Receipts	480060600		-		
	Total	680344716	296203931	296203931	232949986	232949986
	Net expenditure	600275600	107729634	107729634	37888498	37888498
	Closing balance	80069716	188474297	188474297	195061488	195061488

III. Bank Reconciliation

Preparation of Bank Reconciliation Statement is not in practice; however we have verified the cashbook balance and passbook balance and found the same in order.

IV. Revenue Receipts

Particulars	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015- 16)	Corresponding period of Previous year	Current Period (2016-17)	Cumulative for the current period
a) Own source					
Property Tax	25524800	12532784	12532784	11673781	11673781
Assigned Revenue	30000000	1373029	1373029		* a * *
Others (Fee & User Charges)	75985800	15691428	15691428	12204655	12204655
(b) Administrative Grant		1,		en managana yana managan kabu D	
Salary ,wages & Bonus	52100000	620398	620398	2279982	2279982
Administrative Expenses	13200000				



Particulars	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015- 16)	Corresponding period of Previous year	Current Period (2016-17)	Cumulative for the current period
Social security		10174272	10174272	43434	43434
Housing	120000000				
SBM	20000000	3675000	3675000	1807121	1807121
Ward commissioner Allowances		285715	285715		
SJRY		. 119263	119263	3211	3211
BRGF	25000000	2733236	2733236	983529	983529
Receipt from 13 th Finance commission		7669367	7669367	5901697	5901697
NULM .	15000000	4559449	4559449	659542	659542
Receipt from 5 th Finance commission		20261249	20261249		
Receipt from 4 th Finance commission		11740061	11740061	681888	681888
State Schemes	20000000	18125880	18125880	108864	108864
Special fund	,			175000	175000
14 th fin.		5834309	5834309	8008577	8008577
Misc.		5952800	5952800		*
E-governance		922434	922434	2709	2709
P/L		•		7143	7143
Election.				***************************************	
Capital Grant	15000000				
Public facility	50000000				
Subsidy	55000000				
Secured – loan	10000000				N .
Deposit	101000000				

11

Particulars	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015- 16)	Corresponding period of Previous year	Current Period (2016-17)	Cumulative fo the current period
Other Receipt	16150000				
Fixed assets	334490000				
Capital –receipt	155000000				
Loan, Advance	16000000	E E. 1. 5 F			
Other payment	1875000			Section of the sectio	
Medical				11388	11388
KabirAntyesti				7986	7986
		ETTEL		•	

V. Status of implementation of Double Entry Accounting System

Double entry accounting system is being carried by Mukherjee Vishwas&Pathak CA firm being appointed by the organisation and book of accounts has been completed upto December 2016.

VI. Status of Municipal Account Committee; if meeting is held

Municipal accounts committee is formed. Members are a follows-:

Smt. Uma Bharti Ward Commissioner
 Shri Shiv Raj Yadav Ward Commissioner
 ShriShyam Sunder Prashad Ward Commissioner



5. Audit Observation

I. Part - A

All Audit objections/irregularities which has monetary implication, particularly in following area

a. Leakage of own source revenue either	Property Tax
due to wrong assessment or non-levy of property tax, mobile tower tax, rent on municipal properties, advertisement tax fees etc.	<u>i)</u> Rs. 11673781.00 collected under holding tax this financial year. <u>Tower Tax</u>
	i) Total Rs. 2035150.00 is pending a communication tower registration tax. attached in Annexure
	ii) Rs. 135150.00 on account of penalty for late @1.5% is yet to be collected on Tower Tax.
	Sairat
	i)There is scope of more sairat (Details attached)
b. Excess payment against bill, lack of prudence in payment against voucher,	We have checked the expenditure vouched all above Rs. 10000.00 but no
inefficiency in controls resulting loss to ULBs	any objectionable thing noticed.
c. Report on findings of field survey of	Field survey of 20 high value properties has
Property Tax of minimum 20 high value properties	been conducted by us a report thereon is attached in Annexure



II. Part - B

All Audit objections/ irregularities which has no monetary implication, but significant violation of Act, Rules & directives of UD&HD.

Sl. No.	Observation& Impact	Recommendation '					
A	Non-maintenance of books of accounts, subsidiary registers						
	The Nagar Panchayat is maintaining only Cash Book/ Bank Book	All the prescribed books shall be maintained.					
	As per Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual Following primary Books of accounts are required to be maintained:						
	1. Cash Book (Form Gen-IA)						
	2. Bank Book (Form Gen-IB)						
	3. Journal Book (Form Gen-2)						
	4. Ledger (Form Gen-3)						
	Journal Book and Ledger are not maintained.						
	In addition to above following other General Registers and forms are required to be maintained but the same are not being maintained.						
	1 Receipt GEN-8						
	2 Receipt Register GEN-9						
	3 Statement on Status of Cheques Received GEN- 10						
	4 Collection Register GEN-11						
	5 Memorandum of Collection GEN-12						
	6 Summary of Daily Collection GEN-13						
	7 Register of Bills for Payment GEN-14						
	8 Payment Order GEN-15						
	9 Cheque Issue Register GEN-16						
	10 Register of Advance GEN-17						
	11 Register of Permanent Advance GEN-18	anuwanesh @					

Sl.	Observation&	Recommendation
No.	Impact 12 Deposit Register GEN-19	
	13 Summary Statement of Deposits Adjusted GEN-20	
	14 Demand Register GEN-21	
	15 Bill for Municipal Dues GEN-22	
	16 Summary Statement of Bills Raised GEN-23	
	17 Register of Notice Fee, Warrant Fee, Other Fees GEN-24	
-	18 Summary Statement of Notice Fee, Warrant Fee, Other Fees GEN-25	
	19 Register of Refunds, Remissions and Write- offs GEN-26	
	20 Summary Statement of Refunds and Remissions GEN-27	
	21 Summary Statement of Write-Offs GEN-28	
	22 Statement of Outstanding Liability for Expenses GEN-29	
,	23 Documents Control Register/Stock Account of	
	Receipt/Cheque Book GEN-30	
	24 Register of Immovable Property GEN-31	
	25 Register of Movable Property GEN-32	
	26 Register of Land GEN-33	
	27 Function-wise Income Subsidiary Ledger GEN-34	
	28 Function-wise Expense Subsidiary Ledger GEN-35	
	29 Asset Replacement Register GEN-36	
	30 Register of Public Lighting System GEN-37	
В	Irregularity in procurement process	



Sl. No.	Observation& Impact	Recommendation
	No Such observation noticed.	Nagar Panchayat shall follow prescribed guidelines for the procurement.
С	Non-compliance of directives by UD&HD, GOB	
	Our test check revels that compliance of directive has been made duly.	None
D	Non-compliance of Act & Rules	
	During course of Audit we observed that a significant numbers of Rules and Act are being violated by the Nagar Panchayat because of lack of knowledge or deliberately, they are as follows-: i) As per Bihar Municipal Accounting Rules, 2014daily collection by bill collector shall be deposited to office before 04:30 on same day of collection and failure to this with attract a disciplinary action including fine of Rs. 5000.00 but this rule is regularly violated.	Every rule and Act shall be followed. Bill collector shall be issued an order regarding this and on violation severe disciplinary action shall be taken.
	ii) Daily summary of collection is not prepared in BMAR form no. 19 by the cashier and submitted to accountant, nor accountant verify the collection and deposit from Bank statement.	
	iii) Custody of money is kept in single hand and that too in aAmirah safe.	
	iv) As per Bihar Municipal Act 2007 u/s 82 (9) budget shall not deviate above 5% but there is more than 85% of deviation in 2014-15 budget.	
	v) As per Bihar Municipal Act 2007 u/s 98 Accounts committee shall have to be formed which is violated by Nagar Panchayat.	
	vi) TDS has not being deposited in time violating the Income tax Act .	wanesh &



Sl. No.	Observation& Impact	Recommendation					
E	Lack of internal control measures						
	During course of audit we came across various loopholes in internal control, they are-:	Proper care shall be made of internal contrasystem.					
	 i) Cash collection and safe custody of cash is not proper. ii) Daily collection is being kept by the bill collector for several days. 						
	iii) Cash is kept under single person custody.iv) Demand and collection register for						
,	holding is not being maintained by the NP. v) Agreement files of shops/markets on						
F	lease are not maintained by NP. vi) Advance Register is not kept by NP. Non-compliance of TDS VAT And other relevant.	ctatuto					
	Non-compliance of TDS,VAT And other relevant statute						
	i) TDS deposited in f.y. 2015-16 but TDS return has not filed till date which will attract a late fine u/s 231 of IT act of Rs. 200/- per day per return. iii) VAT, Royalty and Labour cess late deposited. iii) Professional Tax of Ex. Engineer has not been	regular and timely basis to avoid the pena- provisions.					
	deducted in any financial year.						
G	Deficiency in pay-roll system						
	i) EPF deduction and deposition is not proper.	It should be deducted on prescribed rate and deposited to PF account of employees.					
Н	Utilisation of Grant and report on missing Utilisation Certificates						
	Grant Utilization register is not being maintained by the NP.	It should be maintained in prescribed format.					

Sl. No.	Observation& Impact	Recommendation		
I	Physical verification of inventory/stores			
	Inventory and store register is not kept by the NP and neither store verification is done.	Inventory and store register shall be kept by the NP and once in a month physical verification		
	TVI and helitier store verification is done.	shall be done.		
J	Advances, their adjustment & recovery			
	Advance register is not maintained, Advance outstanding till 31.03.2015 was Rs. Rs. 853752.00.	Advance register shall be prepared and updated on regular basis.		
K	Any other matters as may be prescribed in due cou	rse.		
	 i) As observed that the cash collected by bill collector have significant exposure to risk of theft, robbery and misappropriation, insurance of Cash shall be taken by the Department. ii) There should be proper indemnity bond binding on bill collectors. 	None		



III. Part - C

General observations: Auditor should report the deficiencies noticed during their audit and recommendation ULB management to improve internal systems.

Particulars	Comments
Whether the postings for the entries in the books of	No, Ledger accounts have not been prepared by
original entry have been correctly made in the	the N.P.
respective ledger accounts	
Whether all the books of accounts and	No, except General Cash Book and Subsidiary
supplementary registers that are prescribed in the	Cash Book and demand collection register no
Accounts Manual / other applicable regulations	other books of accounts have been maintained.
have been properly maintained by the ULB;	
Whether the Quarterly Financial Statements have	No quarterly Financial Statements have been
been compiled on the basis of the actual entries in	prepared by the N.P.
the books of accounts;	
Whether the period end and reconciliation	No, period□end and reconciliation procedures
procedures prescribed have been carried out.	as prescribed have not been carried out.
Whether the Bank Reconciliation statements have	No, Bank Reconciliation Statements have not
been prepared and are appropriate	been prepared by the N.P.
Whether all grants from Government have been	Yes, all grants from Government have been
accounted at gross value with proper entries to	accounted at gross value but all transactions are
various accounts	not correctly classified with sufficient details.
Whether all transactions (incomes, expenditures,	No all transactions have been classified as
assets and liabilities)are correctly classified and	incomes and expenditure only assets and
stated in sufficient detail;	liabilities have not been recognized.
Whether all grants sanctioned or received by the	Grant received during the year has been
municipality during the year, have been accounted	properly accounted for. Information about grant
properly, and where any deduction is made out of	sanctioned and deductions made out of such
such grants towards any dues of the ULB? Whether	grant is not available with the ULB.
such deductions have been properly accounted;	
Whether any Special Funds have been created as per	No Special fund has been created by the ULB.
the provision of any statute and whether the Special	
Funds have been utilized for the purposes for which	
they have been created;	
In respect of contracts that are in existence during	On our test check we did not notice any major
the year, whether there are any deviations from the	deviation.
sanctioned plans and the estimates without the	
sanction of the competent authority;	
VATIL - (I - III D -	N 1 (C 1
Whether the ULB is maintaining proper records	No, record of fixed assets has not been
showing full particulars, including quantitative details and situation of fixed assets; whether these	maintained by the ULB. Physical verification of
	fixed assets has not been done during the
fixed assets have been physically verified at reasonable intervals; whether any material	financial year under audit.
discrepancies were noticed on such verification and	
if so, whether the same has been properly dealt with	
in the books of account;	huwaness
at the books of accounty	
	12 Mus. 12 1

Particulars	Comments
Whether in case of leasehold property given by the	As explained to us no property of the ULB has
ULB, lease rentals are collected regularly by the ULB	been given on lease.
and that the lease agreements are renewed after their	
expiry;	
Whether physical verification has been conducted by	No, physical verification of stores has been
the ULB at reasonable intervals in respect of stores;	conducted by the ULB at reasonable intervals.
Whether the procedures of physical verification of	No
stores followed by the ULB are reasonable and	*
adequate? If not, the inadequacies in such	1 I
procedures should be reported;	
Whether any material discrepancies have been	No physical verification has been carried ou
noticed on physical verification of stores as	during the audit period.
compared to book records, and if so, whether the	and the dataset period.
same has been properly dealt with in the books of	
account;	
Whether proper procedures are in place to identify	No there is no procedures are in place to
any unserviceable or damaged stores and whether	identify any unserviceable or damaged stores
provision for the loss in this respect, if any, has been	and any uniterview of duringed stores
made in the accounts;	3
Whether the valuation of stores is in accordance with	No valuation of stores has been done.
the accounting principles laid down in the rules?	Two variation of stores has been done.
Whether the basis of valuation of stores is same as in	
the preceding year? If there is any deviation in the	
basis of valuation, the effect of such deviation, if	•
material, should be reported;	
Whether the parties to whom loans or advances have	There is no case loans and advances other than
been given by the ULB are repaying the principal	advance to staff for expenditure.
amounts as stipulated and are also regular in	and the to start for experientare.
payment of the interest and if not, whether	
reasonable steps have been taken by the	
municipality for recovery of the principal and	
interest?	·
Whether advances given to municipal employees	Advance Register has not been maintained so
and interest thereon are being regularly recovered;	we are unable to verify whether it is being
,	recovered regularly or not.
Whether there exists an adequate internal control	No there does not exist any internal control
procedure for the purchase of stores, including	procedure for the purchase of stores, including
components, plant and machinery, equipment and	components, plant and machinery, equipment
other assets?	and other assets
Whether applicable procurement rules and	On our test check we did not notice any major
procedures are being followed and if so, significant	deviation.
deviations should be identified and reported.	de Maiori.
Whether the municipality is regular in depositing	No, the municipality is regular in depositing
statutory dues including tax deducted at source,	statutory dues but beyond the due date.
service tax, VAT, works contract tax, cess payable to	and said sof site the due dute.
the government etc., and if not, the nature and cause	
of such delay and the amount not deposited;	
Whether the municipality is regular in remittance of	The municipality is not giving any contribution
pension and leave encashment contributions or any	to P.F or pension Fund employee in prescribed
The desired of titly	or perioder I draw complete in prescribed

Particulars	Comments
other amounts which the municipality is liable to	rate.
remit towards the retirement dues of its employees,	
including employees on deputation;	
Whether any personal expenses have been charged	We did not notice any such expenses.
to the municipality's accounts; if so, the details	
thereof;	
Whether all the expenditure incurred by the	Yes on our test check we observed that all the
Municipality are authorized by appropriate	expenditure incurred by the Municipality are
provision in the sanctioned budget, whether made	authorized by appropriate provision in the
originally or subsequently and are in all cases such	sanctioned budget
as are authorized by law;	
Whether all revenue has been properly assessed,	No all revenues have not been properly
accounted for, collected and recovery action taken on	assessed, accounted for and collected. Recovery
timely basis;	action is also not taken on timely basis
Whether all sums due to and received by the	There is significant tie gap between collection
Municipality have been brought to account within	and accounting of taxes by tax collection agents.
the prescribed time limits and are in all cases such as	
are authorized by law;	Yes on our test check we observed that all bills
Whether in respect of all bills for charges on account of all works and other expenditure, proper	for charges on account of all works and other
certificates have been furnished in support of them	expenditure, proper certificates have been
and that no deviation has been made for the	furnished in support of them and that no
sanctioned plans and the estimates without the	deviation has been made for the sanctioned
sanction of the competent authority;	plans and the estimates without the sanction of
building of the competent audionty,	the competent authority
Whether the amounts received as specific grants	Yes on our test check we observed that amounts
have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the	received as specific grants have been utilized for
grant sanction order;	the purposes as stated in the grant sanction
	order
Whether bio metric devices and payroll software	No, bio□metric devices and payroll software are
are used at the ULB. If not whether there is	not used at the ULB. Pay roll system of the
satisfactory system of pay□roll accounting;	municipality is deficient as it does not contain
otherwise mention the key deficiencies of the system.	leave records, details of deductions made etc.
Whether the grievance redressal mechanism for the	No, we did not observe any grievance redressal
ULB is sufficient.	cell functioning at the ULB.



Details of due from mobile communication tower

7.		600000	540000	150000	150000 total due2	150000	150000	160000	0	0
		600000	F40000	450000	4				13515	203515
24	VODA	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
8	DOORDARSA N	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
18	TATA	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
20	AIRCEL	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
22	BSNL	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
24	AIRCEL	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
4	BSNL	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
23	RELINCE	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
19	BSNL	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
4	QUIPO	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
8	RELINCE	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
7	AIRCEL	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
13	QUIPO	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
14	AIRCEL	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
25	TATA	40000	36000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	9000	135000
12	AIRTEL	PAID	Nil ·	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	Nil	Nil	Nil
13	AIRTEL	PAID	Nil	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	Nil	Nil	Nil
13	AIRTEL	PAID	Nil	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	Nil	Nil	Nil
7	AIRTEL	PAID	Nil	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	10000	150	10150
6	VODA	PAID	Nil	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	Nil	Nil
13	VODA	PAID	Nil	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	Nil	Nil
11	VODA	PAID	Nil	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	Nil	Nil
25	VODA	PAID	Nil	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	PAID	Nil	Nil
WAR D NO	OWNER OF TOWER	reg. amoun t	1.50%PA R MONTH panalty	2012- 13	2013-	2014- 15	2015-	2016- 17	alty for late @1.5% amount	Totl due amount
			5 Years in						TotlPan	



Details of Sairat

	14-15	15-16	16-17
Stall	2324871.	2389436	1725000
Nonveg market	900000	1665500	1052000
Malwahak	2175000	6046125	4512000
Baluaahi bus stand	3021000	5567415	3486000
Mango tree	0	7400	0
Tin ticat	160400	15552	. 0
total	15746060	28224212	15673970



Details of 20 holding visited

क्र0	नाम	होल्डिंग सं0	वार्ड सं0	कर की राशि	
1	श्रीमतीरीतादेवी	337	10	952.00	
2	श्रीमतीमंजूदेवी	274	3	545.00	
3	श्रीमतीमंजूदेवी	203	3	109.00	
4	माधोमहतों .	257	3	110.00	
5	कुमारीप्रेमलता शर्मा	284	3	119.00	
6	काजलकुमारी	38	1	19.00	
7	महावीरपोद्दार	263	18	274.00	
8	महावीरपोद्दार	264	18	274.00	
9	महावीरपोद्दार	265	18	144.00	
10	महावीरपोद्दार	267	18	901.00	
11	वासुदेव शर्मा	376	18	316.00	
12	वासुदेव शर्मा	377	18	160.00	
13	तारणी प्र0 यादव	297	3	160.00	
14	तारणी प्र0 यादव	298	3	432.00	
15	श्रीमतीज्षादेवी	288	3	44.00	
16	राजेन्द्र शर्मा	299	3	538.00	
17	. राजेन्द्र शर्मा	300	3	305.00	
18	सरितादेवी	261	3	88.00	
19	बिन्देश्वरीभगत	10	5	122.00	
20	विद्यादेवी	160	5	190.00	



to be a first of control of the party through