Internal Audit Report – Nagar Parishad- Jamui

# INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT OF NAGAR PARISHAD- JAMUI

# For the Period from April 2016- March 2017

# INTERNAL AUDIT CONDUCTED BY

#### **THAKUR BHUWANESH & ASSOCIATES**

Chartered Accountants 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Nathani Market, Sutapatti, Muzaffarpur Bihar-842001

Audit Conducted from:

 $\bigcirc$ 

#### NAGAR PARISHAD- JAMUI (JAMUI)

#### **INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2016-17**

<u>_</u>	INDEX			
Section	Contents	Page No.		
	· · · · · ·	From	То	
I	Audit Methodology and Approach	3	3	
II	Executive Summary	4	6	
ш	Detailed Audit report	7	10	
IV	Audit Observations – Part-A	11	- 11	
V ·	Audit Observations – Part-B	12	16	
VI	Audit Observations – Part-C	17	20	
VII	Annexure to Audit report	21	33	
VIII	Report on Field Survey of 80 high value properties	. 34	36	

#### INDEX

#### **INTERNAL AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Joint Secretary and Additional Project Director Urban Development & Housing Department, Patna

We have conducted internal audit of the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers of Nagar Parishad– Jamui (ULB) for the period of 01-01-2017 to 31-03-2017 in terms of agreement with UD&HD dated 21.03.2016. These Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers are the responsibility of Management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers based on our audit.

We have conducted our audit in accordance with the Standard on Internal Audit (SIA) formulated by ICAI. Those Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Books of Accounts and related document and vouchers are free from material misstatement. An audit includes examining, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosure in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by the management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our observations. The salient points of the scope covered by the internal audit are as follows:

- 1. The effectiveness of accounting system.
- 2. Compliance with the legal and statutory requirements.
- 3. Risk-based review and evaluation of the Internal Control.
- 4: Compliance of Bihar Municipal Act.
- 5. Compliance of Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual.

Moreover, our scope of examination also covered the requirements of the specific points as spelled out by the management of the Urban Development & Housing Department. The result and recommendations of our internal audit are set out in Scope, Observations and Annexure of our report.

The statutory auditor of the Urban Development & Housing Department expresses opinion as to the true and fair view of the financial statements. We have not expressed any opinion on the financial statements and accordingly, this report should not be constructed as our opinion on the financial statements.

#### For Thakur Bhuwanesh& Associates

Chartered Accountants. FRN: 019690N MUZAFFARPUI (CA) sh Kumar Jha Partner M.NO. 412318 Date:

## Financial Year (2016-17)

## **Executive Summary**

1. Introduction

Name of the Municipality

# Nagar ParishadJamui

Period covered under current audit

01.04.2016 to 31.03.2017

Name of Chief Executive Officer for N/A the period under Audit

2. Results and Findings

 $\bigcirc$ 

Strength observed during the audit engagement	<ul> <li>The following records are available:         <ul> <li>Cash Book with Subsidiaries</li> <li>Cheque receipt register</li> <li>Remittance Register</li> <li>Log Book of Vehicle</li> <li>Salary Register</li> <li>Daily collection Register of Taxes/user charges /Fees &amp; Fines</li> </ul> </li> <li>Staff Cooperation during the Audit period is satisfactory.</li> <li>Physical verification of movable Fixed Assets has been carried out during performance audit.</li> </ul>
Weakness observed in the functioning of office, maintenance of records etc. observed during the audit engagement.	<u>Property Tax</u> Rs. 1257655.00 collected as holding tax against demand of Rs. 10956825only 120000.00 in this quarter hence i.e. only 11.47% Tax of demand . (Detail attached) <u>Tower Tax</u>
	<ul> <li>Dues from communication towers are Rs. 2335000.00. (Detail attached)</li> <li>Few Supporting vouchers of expenses over materials were hand made on white computer papers</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Scheme mentioned in photograph of work done was different with the schemes from which the grant for the work anctioned.</li> </ul>



 $\bigcirc$ 





Internal Audit Report – Nagar Parishad- Jamui

#### 3. Opinion

Overall opinion of the Audit team	The overall functioning of the Municipality is very poor
about the functioning of the	due to following reasons:
Municipality	<ul> <li>Most of the prescribed Books of accounts are not maintained</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Internal Control w.r.t. collection of taxes, monitoring of grant, monitoring of advances, monitoring of schemes etc. is very poor.</li> </ul>
	Collection from own sources is very poor.
	• Grant received for various purposes are not utilized on timely basis.
	<ul> <li>There are serious lapses in deduction and deposit of statutory dues such as TDS, VAT, Royalty, Labour cess etc.</li> </ul>

#### 4. Audit Recommendations

The recommendations of Audit team on the	We recommend the followings:
observed weakness	<ul> <li>All the prescribed books of accounts and Registers should be prepared on real time basis</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Bank reconciliation Statement should be prepared on monthly basis</li> </ul>
	✓ Cashier Cash Book should be maintained and written on daily basis.
	<ul> <li>Collection by tax collector should be deposited on daily basis.</li> </ul>
	✓ Grant Register should be prepared
	<ul> <li>All the statutory dues should be deposited on timely basis and returns prescribed under the statute should also be deposited on timely basis.</li> </ul>
·	✓ Demand Collection Register of all the



 $\left( \right)$ 

wards should be prepared.
<ul> <li>Property tax register should be prepared as per new assessment.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Collection from own sources should be improved.</li> </ul>



#### 5. Comments from Management

Comment from Management	ent The audit report has been discussed with us;					
	we will try our best to remove the					
	irregularities pointed out in the audit report.					
	For Nagar Parishad – Jamui					
· · ·	(Executive Officer)					
· · ·						

#### 6. Acknowledgment

We thank Mrs Jaya Kumari (Chairman) and Mr.Sankaracharya (Accountant) for their support during the period of our audit. We are also thankful to Tax Daroga and support staffs of the municipality for their cooperation extended to us during the period of our audit.

ForThakurBhuwanesh& Associates Chartered Accountants. FRN: 019690N

MUZAFFARPUF (CA. Rajesh Kumar Jha) Partner M.NO. 412318

Date:

·.

#### **DETAILED AUDIT REPORT**

#### 1. Introduction

The Internal audit of (N.P. Jamui ) covering the period from 01.10.2016 .to 31.12.2016 was conducted

by following persons under guidance of CA. Amit Azad

- 1. Sudip Kumar
- 2. Shashankkumar

#### 2. Administration

The present body of the ULB has taken charge on 2012. The incumbency in the key administrative and executive positions was as under:

- 1. Smt. Jaya Kumari, Chairman From 12.10.2012 to till date
- 2. Presently there is no Executive engineer.

# 3. Review of outstanding audit paras: Status of Audit Observation is as under :

SI. No.	Particulars of audit and date of report	Total No. of Audit Paras.	Total No. of Audit Paras where necessary improvement/corrective measure is required	Total No. of Audit Paras where recovery of cash is proposed	Total No. of Audit Paras where recovery has been made	Total Amount of Recovery	Total No. of outstanding para where no action has been taken	No. & dated of compliand report
1.	AG Audit- no. 433/15-16	28	28	6	ο.		0	

1. Para 5-: Receivable from communication tower Rs. 2750000.00

2. Para 8-: Loss in SairayatBandobastiRs. 248000.00

3. Para 9-: Non collection of Holding Tax Rs 83.68 lakhs

4. Para 10 -: Market fee due Rs. 4.94 lakhs



.

...)

5. Para 3-: Non collection of Development fee for design Rs. 637500.00

6. Para 4-: Non collection of labour cess Rs. 49.01 lakhs

## B. Status of Audit Observation is as under : Internal Audit 2015-16

Sl. No.	Particulars of audit and date of report	Audit Observation	Compliance
1.	Annual Audit Report 2015- 16	Rs. 1236352 collected as holding tax against demand of Rs. 1080087 hence Rs. 9563735.00 is due to be collected i.e. total 88% Tax is overdue.	Collection is in progress.
2.	-Do-	Dues from communication towers are Rs. 1180000.00.	Notice has been given to company.
3.	-Do-	Dues from shop rent are Rs. 417200 against demand of Rs. 480000.	Collection is in progress.
4.	-Do-	8 sairat agreements did this year against 10 in year 2014-15 due to which a revenue loss of Rs.1023400 happened.	Due to high price sairat was cancelled.
5.	-Do-	Scheme mentioned in photograph of work done was different with the schemes from which the grant for the work sanctioned.	Same will be corrected in this session.
<b>6.</b>	-Do-	Few bills were hand made on estimates from dealer were no VAT no. Was mentioned.	Same will be corrected in this session.
7.	-Do-	Excess payment of Rs. 174695.00 was made due to non deduction of late fine in work completion.	Due natural disaster there was the delay. Same has been implemented in new session.
8.	-Do-	Total 17 house map was passed during the year but no details of area maintained hence labour cess was not levied @ 1%.	As informed implemented in new session .



## 4. FINANCE:

#### I. Budgetary provision and expenditure for the last three years

Year	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Final/Revised Budget	229129434	363427000	584876000
Actual Expenditure	138842152	134432080	77512001
Savings(+)/Excess(-)	90287282	228994920	507363999

#### **II.** Volume of transaction

Period .	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015- 16)	Corresponding period of Previous year 2015-16	Current Period (2016-17)	Cumulative for the current period
Opening balance	211075035	267870295	267870295	162915153	287293359
Receipts	455422500	153855144	153855144	37383404	77512001
Total	666497535	421725439	421725439	200298557	364805360
Net expenditure	584876000	134432080.5	134432080.5	63877838	228384641
Closing balance	81621535	287293359	287293359	136420719	136420719

## **III. Bank Reconcilation**

Preparation of Bank Reconciliation Statement is not in practice; however we have verified the cashbook balance and passbook balance and found the same in order.

## **IV. Revenue Receipts**

()

Particulars	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015-16)	Correspondin g period of Previous year	Current Period (2016-17)	Cumulative for the curren period
a) Own source					
Property Tax	4420800	1237758	1237758	965233	96523:
Assigned Revenue	25000000	63054288	63054288	20610736	2061073
Others (Fee & User Charges )	311001700	4063880	4063880	1007302	100730
(b) Administrative Grant					÷

Financial Year (2016-17)

Particulars	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015-16)	Correspondin g period of Previous year	Current Period (2016-17)	Cumulative for the curren period
General and	<del></del>	15928894	15928894	• • • • •	
dearness grants	•	10,200,1			
SALARY&ALL	15000000				
OWANCES	1000000				
Electricity	1000000				
PENSON	2000000				
OTHER TERMINAL&R ETIRMENT	21100000				
OFFICE MAINTENANC	500000			•	
E					
COMMUNICA TION EXP	70000				
BOOKS,PERIO DICALS,PRINT	. 706000		•		
ING & STATIONERY					
TA& CONVEYANCE	120000				
INSURANCE	21100000				
Other	230500000	24572000	24572000		5
Development					
SRGY		. 9975190	9975190		a.
BRGF		490412	490412		
5 <sup>th</sup> Finance					
commission			•		
Receipt from 14 <sup>th</sup> Finance	1000000	33022786	33022786	13829272	1382927.
commission	11000000				
CAPITAL RECEIPTS	115000000				
State Plan	1000000				
NUNLM	5000000				
BOQ.		· ·		640000	64000
Executive salary				1366185	136618!

esh NUZAFFARPUR

Internal Audit Report – Nagar Parishad- Jamui

Financial Year (2016-17)

Particulars	Budgeted	Previous Year (2015-16)	Correspondin g period of Previous year	Current Period (2016-17)	Cumulative for the curren period
Drainage				1983209	198320!
IHSPD .		120798049	120798049		

#### V. Status of implementation of Double Entry Accounting System

Double entry accounting system is being carried by Mukherjee &Vishwas&PathakCA firm being appointed by the organisation for the same but status of completion of books of accounts in tally is not known to Nagar Parishad.

## VI. Status of Municipal Account Committee; if meeting is held

Municipal accounts committee is not formed by the Nagar Parishad.



# Audit Observation

### I. Part – A

All Audit objections/irregularities which has monetary implication, particularly in following area

a. Leakage of own source revenue either	Property Tax
due to wrong assessment or non- levy of property tax, mobile tower tax, rent on municipal properties, advertisement tax fees etc.	Rs. 1257655.00 collected as holding tax against demand of Rs. 10956825only hence i.e. only 11.47% Tax of demand. (Detail attached)
· ·	<u>Tower Tax</u>
	Dues from communication towers are Rs. 2375000.00. (Detail attached)
	<u>Sairat</u>
	As per the detail provided 4 potential sairat tender was not conducted in 2016- 17. (Detail attached)
	Shop Rent
	20 Shops of rent 400 per month has been allotted.
b. Excess payment against bill, lack of prudence in payment against voucher, inefficiency in controls resulting loss to ULBs	<ul> <li>We have checked the expenditure vouched all above Rs. 10000.00 and observed some deficiency-:</li> <li>1. Supporting vouchers of expenses over materials were hand made on white computer papers</li> <li>2. In some work done by Nagar Parishad no photographs were taken.</li> <li>3. Few bills were hand made on estimates from dealer were no VAT no. Was mentioned.</li> </ul>
c. Report on findings of field survey of Property Tax of minimum 20 high value properties	Field survey of 80 high value properties has been conducted by us a report thereon is attached in Annexure



#### II. Part – B

()

All Audit objections/ irregularities which has no monetary implication, but significant violation of Act, Rules & directives of UD&HD.

Sl. No.	Observation& Impact	Recommendation			
A	Non-maintenance of books of accounts, subsidiary registers				
	The Nagar Panchayat is maintaining only Cash Book/ Bank Book	All the prescribed books shall be maintained.			
	As per Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual Following primary Books of accounts are required to be maintained :				
	1. Cash Book (Form Gen-IA)				
	2. Bank Book (Form Gen-IB)				
	3. Journal Book (Form Gen-2)				
•	4. Ledger (Form Gen-3)				
	Journal Book and Ledger are not maintained.				
	In addition to above following other General Registers and forms are required to be maintained but the same are not being maintained.				
	1 Receipt GEN-8				
	2 Receipt Register GEN-9				
	3 Statement on Status of Cheques Received GEN- 10				
	4 Collection Register GEN-11				
	5 Memorandum of Collection GEN-12				
	6 Summary of Daily Collection GEN-13				
	7 Register of Bills for Payment GEN-14	· ',			
	8 Payment Order GEN-15				
	9 Cheque Issue Register GEN-16				
	10 Register of Advance GEN-17				
	11 Register of Permanent Advance GEN-18	•			

()

<b>S1.</b>	Observation&	Recommendation
No.	Impact	
	12 Deposit Register GEN-19	
	13 Summary Statement of Deposits Adjusted GEN-20	
	14 Demand Register GEN-21	
	15 Bill for Municipal Dues GEN-22	
	16 Summary Statement of Bills Raised GEN-23	
	17 Register of Notice Fee, Warrant Fee, Other Fees GEN-24	
	18 Summary Statement of Notice Fee, Warrant Fee, Other Fees GEN-25	· ·
	19 Register of Refunds, Remissions and Write- offs GEN-26	
	20 Summary Statement of Refunds and Remissions GEN-27	
	21 Summary Statement of Write-Offs GEN-28	
	22 Statement of Outstanding Liability for Expenses GEN-29	•
	23 Documents Control Register/Stock Account of	
	Receipt/Cheque Book GEN-30	
	24 Register of Immovable Property GEN-31	
	25 Register of Movable Property GEN-32	
	26 Register of Land GEN-33	· ,
	27 Function-wise Income Subsidiary Ledger. GEN-34	۰.
	28 Function-wise Expense Subsidiary Ledger GEN-35	
	29 Asset Replacement Register GEN-36	
	30 Register of Public Lighting System GEN-37	
b	Irregularity in procurement process	



Internal Audit Report –Nagar Parishad- Jamui

٢

Financial Year (2016-17)

Sl. No.	Observation& Impact	Recommendation
	i) Our test check does not reveal any of irregularity observed in procurement process.	None.
c	Non-compliance of directives by UD&HD, GOB	
	Our test check revels that compliance of directive has been made duly.	None
d	Non-compliance of Act & Rules	
	During course of Audit we observed that a significant numbers of Rules and Act are being violated by the Nagar Panchayat because of lack of knowledge or deliberately, they are as follows-	Every rule and Act shall be followed.
	:	Bill collector shall be issued an order regardi
	<ul> <li>i) As per Bihar Municipal Accounting Rules,</li> <li>2014daily collection by bill collector shall be deposited to office before 04:30 on same day of collection and failure to this with attract a</li> </ul>	this and on violation severe disciplinary actions shall be taken.
	disciplinary action including fine of Rs. 5000.00 but this rule is regularly violated.	
	<ul> <li>ii) Daily summary of collection is not prepared in BMAR form no. 19 by the cashier and submitted to accountant, nor accountant verify the collection and deposit from Bank statement.</li> </ul>	· ·
	iii) Custody of money is kept in single hand and that too in aAmirah safe.	• •
	iv) As per <b>Bihar Finance Rule 2005</b> any purchase shall be made through procurement Tender in newspaper, Nagar Panchayat has violated this rule while purchasing few assets.	
	v) As per <b>Bihar Municipal Act 2007 u/s 82 (9)</b> budget shall not deviate above 5% but there is huge deviation in 2014-15 budget.	
	vi) As per <b>Bihar Municipal Act 2007 u/s 98</b> Accounts committee shall have to be formed	angel

# Internal Audit Report –Nagar Parishad- Jamui

 $\bigcirc$ 

Financial Year (2016-17)

SI.		Observation&	Recommendation
No.	which is	Impact violated by Nagar Panchayat.	
	h . 1	has not being deposited in time violating me tax Act.	
e		internal control measures	
	Ŭ	course of audit we came across various	Proper care shall be made of internal cont
	loophole	es in internal control, they are-:	system.
	i)	Cash collection and safe custody of	
		cash is not proper.	
	ii)	Daily collection is being kept by the	
		bill collector for several days.	
	iii)	Cash is kept under single person	
		custody.	
	iv)	Demand and collection register for	
		holding is not being maintained by	
		the NP.	· · ·
	v)	Agreement files of shops/markets on	
		lease are not maintained by NP.	
	vi)	Advance Register is not kept by NP.	
f	Non-con	pliance of TDS,VAT And other relevant st	atute
	i)	Details of VAT, Royalty and TDS for	All the dues shall be accessed and payed
		the months from March 2015 to July	regular and timely basis to avoid the per
		2015 were not kept by the office.	provisions.
	ii)	Statutory liabilities are not deducted	· .
		and deposited on time	
	2.95 V	(Detail in Annexure)	· · ·
	ii) Profes	ssional Tax of Ex. Engineer has not been	
		l in any financial year.	
g	Deficien	cy in pay-roll system	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	· · · ·		waresh



MUZAFFARPUR

Sl. No.	Observation& Impact	Recommendation				
	i) EPF deduction and deposition is not proper.	It should be deducted on prescribed rate and				
		deposited to PF account of employees.				
h	Utilisation of Grant and report on missing Utilisation Certificates					
	Grant Register has not been prepared hence it is	It should be maintained in prescribed format.				
	difficult to ascertain unutilized grant at any					
	particular time. As explained to us Utilization	. 3				
	certificates up to 31.03.2015 has been sent to the					
	Government but copy of the same could not be					
	provided to us. Also as per head office various					
	utilisation is pending till date.					
i	Physical verification of inventory/stores					
	Inventory and store register is not kept by the NP	Inventory and store register shall be kept by th				
	and neither store verification is done.	NP and once in a month physical verification				
		shall be done.				
j	Advances, their adjustment & recovery					
	Advance register is not maintained	Advance register shall be prepared and update				
		on regular basis.				
k	Any other matters as may be prescribed in due course.					
	i) As observed that the cash collected by bill	None				
	collector have significant exposure to risk of					
	theft, robbery and misappropriation, insurance of					
	Cash shall be taken by the Department.					
	ii) There should be proper indemnity bond					
	binding on bill collectors.					



## III. Part – C

Section States and

 $\bigcirc$ 

**General observations:** Auditor should report the deficiencies noticed during their audit and recommendation ULB management to improve internal systems.

<b>Rarficulars</b>	Comments
Whether the postings for the entries in the books of	•
original entry have been correctly made in the	the N.P.
respective ledger accounts	
Whether all the books of accounts and	No, except General Cash Book and Subsidiary
supplementary registers that are prescribed in the	Cash Book and demand collection register no
Accounts Manual / other applicable regulations	other books of accounts have been maintained.
have been properly maintained by the ULB;	
Whether the Quarterly Financial Statements have	No quarterly Financial Statements have been
been compiled on the basis of the actual entries in	prepared by the N.P.
the books of accounts;	
Whether the period end and reconciliation	No, period□end and reconciliation procedures
procedures prescribed have been carried out.	as prescribed have not been carried out.
Whether the Bank Reconciliation statements have	
<ul> <li>Provide Section 10 Control of C</li></ul>	No, Bank Reconciliation Statements have not
been prepared and are appropriate	been prepared by the N.P.
Whether all grants from Government have been	Yes, all grants from Government have been
accounted at gross value with proper entries to	accounted at gross value but all transactions are
various accounts	not correctly classified with sufficient details.
Whether all transactions (incomes, expenditures,	No all transactions have been classified as
assets and liabilities)are correctly classified and	incomes and expenditure only assets and
stated in sufficient detail;	liabilities have not been recognized.
Whether all grants sanctioned or received by the	Grant received during the year has been
municipality during the year, have been accounted	properly accounted for. Information about grant
properly, and where any deduction is made out of	sanctioned and deductions made out of such
such grants towards any dues of the ULB? Whether	grant is not available with the ULB.
such deductions have been properly accounted;	0
Whether any Special Funds have been created as per	No Special fund has been created by the ULB.
the provision of any statute and whether the Special	1
Funds have been utilized for the purposes for which	
they have been created;	
In respect of contracts that are in existence during	On our test check we did not notice any major
the year, whether there are any deviations from the	
sanctioned plans and the estimates without the	
sanction of the competent authority;	2
sanction of the competent autionty,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Whether the ULB is maintaining proper records	No, record of fixed assets has not been
showing full particulars, including quantitative	
details and situation of fixed assets; whether these	maintained by the ULB. Physical verification of
	fixed assets has not been done during the
fixed assets have been physically verified at	financial year under audit.
reasonable intervals; whether any material	
discrepancies were noticed on such verification and	,
if so, whether the same has been properly dealt with	
in the books of account;	wwwanesh &
	No Participation of the second

20

MUZAFFARPUR

x

 $\bigcirc$ 

Rarliculars	Comments
Whether in case of leasehold property given by the	
ULB, lease rentals are collected regularly by the ULB	been given on lease.
and that the lease agreements are renewed after their	
expiry;	
Whether physical verification has been conducted by	No, physical verification of stores has been
the ULB at reasonable intervals in respect of stores;	conducted by the ULB at reasonable intervals.
Whether the procedures of physical verification of	No ·
stores followed by the ULB are reasonable and	
adequate? If not, the inadequacies in such	
procedures should be reported;	*
Whether any material discrepancies have been	No physical verification has been carried out
noticed on physical verification of stores as	during the audit period.
compared to book records, and if so, whether the	
same has been properly dealt with in the books of	
account;	
Whether proper procedures are in place to identify	No there is no procedures are in place to
any unserviceable or damaged stores and whether	identify any unserviceable or damaged stores
provision for the loss in this respect, if any, has been	
made in the accounts;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Whether the valuation of stores is in accordance with	No valuation of stores has been done.
the accounting principles laid down in the rules?	
Whether the basis of valuation of stores is same as in	
the preceding year? If there is any deviation in the	
basis of valuation, the effect of such deviation, if	
material, should be reported;	
Whether the parties to whom loans or advances have	
been given by the ULB are repaying the principal	advance to staff for expenditure.
amounts as stipulated and are also regular in	
payment of the interest and if not, whether	
reasonable steps have been taken by the	
municipality for recovery of the principal and	х. 
interest?	
Whether advances given to municipal employees	Advance Register has not been maintained so
and interest thereon are being regularly recovered;	we are unable to verify whether it is being
IATh others there exists are a demoted in the I	recovered regularly or not.
Whether there exists an adequate internal control	No there does not exist any internal control
procedure for the purchase of stores, including	
components, plant and machinery, equipment and other assets?	components, plant and machinery, equipment and other assets
Whether applicable procurement rules and	Not any significant deviation.
procedures are being followed and if so, significant deviations should be identified and reported.	
	No the municipality is not reaction in demosition
Whether the municipality is regular in depositing	No, the municipality is not regular in depositing
statutory dues including tax deducted at source,	statutory dues including tax deducted at source,
service tax, VAT, works contract tax, cess payable to	service tax, VAT, works contract tax, cess
the government etc., and if not, the nature and cause	payable to the government etc. Royalty and
of such delay and the amount not deposited;	labour cess for the year 2014-15 yet to be
Whether the municipality is regular in remittance of	deposited.
requier die manerpanty is regular in reminance of	The municipality is not giving any contribution
	TA YE
21	
	MUZAFFARPUR

Internal Audit Report –Nagar Parishad- Jamui

0

1930202

Contraction of the owner owner owner owner owner owner owner

·	
Particulars	Comments
pension and leave encashment contributions or any	to P.F or pension Fund employee contribution to
other amounts which the municipality is liable to	P.F and pension fund.
remit towards the retirement dues of its employees,	
including employees on deputation;	
Whether any personal expenses have been charged	We did not notice any such expenses.
to the municipality' s accounts; if so, the details	
thereof;	
Whether all the expenditure incurred by the	Yes on our test check we observed that all the
Municipality are authorized by appropriate	expenditure incurred by the Municipality are
provision in the sanctioned budget, whether made	authorized by appropriate provision in the
originally or subsequently and are in all cases such	sanctioned budget
as are authorized by law;	
Whether all revenue has been properly assessed,	No all revenues have not been properly
accounted for, collected and recovery action taken on	assessed, accounted for and collected. Recovery
timely basis;	action is also not taken on timely basis
Whether all sums due to and received by the	Some delay observed in collection and
Municipality have been brought to account within	accounting of taxes by tax collection agents.
the prescribed time limits and are in all cases such as	
are authorized by law;	
Whether in respect of all bills for charges on account	Yes on our test check we observed that all bills
of all works and other expenditure, proper	for charges on account of all works and other
certificates have been furnished in support of them	expenditure, proper certificates have been
and that no deviation has been made for the	furnished in support of them and that no
sanctioned plans and the estimates without the	deviation has been made for the sanctioned
sanction of the competent authority;	plans and the estimates without the sanction of
	the competent authority
Whether the amounts received as specific grants	Yes on our test check we observed that amounts
have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the	received as specific grants have been utilized for
grant sanction order;	the purposes as stated in the grant sanction
	order
Whether bio metric devices and payroll software	No, bio□metric devices and payroll software are
are used at the ULB. If not whether there is	not used at the ULB. Pay roll system of the
satisfactory system of pay□roll accounting;	municipality is deficient as it does not contain
otherwise mention the key deficiencies of the system.	leave records, details of deductions made etc.
Whether the grievance redressal mechanism for the	No, we did not observe any grievance redressal
ULB is sufficient.	cell functioning at the ULB.



 $\bigcirc$ 

# Detail of Holding Tax to be collected

Detail	2015-16	2016-17	
Old Outstanding	8368030.00	9563678.00	
Present Demand	2532000.00	1393147.00	
Total Demand	10800030.00	10956825.00	
Collection	1236352.00	1137655.00	
Percentage of Collection	12.00	10.38	
	· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Dues to be collected	9563678.00	9819170.00	



 $\bigcirc$ 

# **Detail of Dues from Communications Tower**

<b>Communication Tower</b>	WARD NO	FIXING YEAR	COLLECTION	TOTAL DUE
а. 1.				
AIR TEL	11	2005	0	46000
AIR TEL	6	2009	0	30000
AIR TEL	9	2010	0	26000
AIR TEL	24	2009	0	30000
AIR TEL	25	2008	0	34000
AIR TEL	13	2005	40000 ·	420000
		-	40000	586000
AIR TEL	19	2011	0	22000
	12	2005	0	46000
AIR CEL	4	2008		34000
		2000		
			00	102000
VODA	. 22	2010	0	26000
VODA	21	2010	0	26000
VODA	24	2009	0	30000
VODA	23	2011	0	22000
VODA	11	2009	0	30000
VODA	23	2010	0	26000
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			255240	160000
,			2	8 B
ТАТА	8	2010	0	26000
TATA	20	2011	. 0	26000

**NUZAFFARPU** 

 $\bigcirc$ 

Financial Year (2016-17)

			0	52000
IDIA	12	2011	• 0	22000
			0	22000
			0	(
A.T.C	7	2011	0	22000
A.T.C	12	2011	0	22000
A.T.C	25	2010	0	26000
			0	70000
VARJEEN	19	2011	0	22000
RELINCE	22	2009.	0	30000
•		•		
UNINOR	22	2011	0	22000
BHARTI INFATEL	ANJANI KU	2015	40000	(
BHARTI INFATEL	18	2013	40000	(
· ·			0.00	74000
Grand Total			400000	110000
Other			30000	1235000
Total Due				2375000



Details of 80 High Value properties surveyed.

~

1.24.1

CONTRACTOR OF

 $\bigcirc$ 

S.L	Ward No	Holding No	Name	Father's Name	
1	· 1	1	VED RATAN SAW	LATE GANGA SAW	540.00
2	1	2	TUNTUN SAW	MATHURA SAW .	213.00
3	1	3	KHAIRUN NISHA	SAMID KHAN	488.84
4	1	4	NASIR KHAN	LATE RAFIK KHAN	126.00
5	1	5	MUSHO MIYA	LATE RAHIM MIYA	90.00
6	1	6.	MD. YASHIN	MD. FAJRUDDIN	36.00
7	1	7	MD. IBRAIL	LATE ULFA ANSARI	36.00
8	1	8	MD. KALIM MIYAN	FAJRUDDIN MIYA	36.00
9	1	9	MD. KADIM MIYA	LATE ALI JAN MIYA	36.00
10	1	10	MD. NUR MOHAMMAD	LATE ALI JAN MIYA	57.60
11	2	1	KEDAR MANDAL	LATE MATHURA MANDAL	194.40
12	2	2	KUNTI DEVI	LATE NARAYAN MANDAL	129.60
13	2	3	KAMLESWARI MANDAL	LATE KISUN MANDAL	97.20
14	2	4	SURESH MANDAL	LATE KISUN MANDAL	97.20
15	2	5.	BIKESH MANDAL	SURENDRA MANDAL	97.20
16	2	6	SADANAND MANDAL	KISUN MANDAL	97.20
17	2	7	KAMLESWARI MANDAL	LATE KISUN MANDAL	194.40
18	2	8	MASO DEVI	LATE RADHE MANDAL	97.20
19	2	9	KULDEEP MANDAL	ROHAN MANDAL	64.80
20	. 2	. 10	BIMLA DEVI	LATE MOHAN MANDAL	291.60
21	3	1	RAMCHANDRA SHAH	LATE JAMUNA SHAH	54.00
22	3	2	SHAHEB SHAH	LATE GOKHUL SHAH	54.00
23	3	3	ANAR DEVI	LATE BISHASWAR TANTI	10.51
24	3	4.	SHANAJ KHATUN	MD.BASI	81.00
25	3	5	MASO DEVI	MANIK SHAH	156.60
26	. 3	6	RAM KHELAWAN MANJHI	LATE DUKHAN MANJHI	126.00
27	3	7	SUKDEV MANJHI	LATE DAHO MANJHI	108.00



 $\bigcirc$ 

Financial Year (2016-17)

28	3	<b>8</b> .	MITHUN MANJHI	LATE AITWARI MANJHI	81.00
29	3	9	KAILI DEVI	LATE BHUKHAN MANJHI	81.00
.30	3	10	TETAR MANJHI	LATE MUNSHI MANJHI	54.00
31	4	1	VINAY KUMAR KARN	LATE BADRI NATH KARN	121.00
32	4	2	LALITA DEVI	LATE JAMUNA RAWAT	18.00
33	• 4	· 3	SANKAR RAWAT	LATE GANGA RAWAT	54.00
34	4	4	AWDHESH KUMAR SINHA	LATE RAMANANDAN PRASHAD	36.00
35	4	5	ARUN KR. SINHA		18.00
36	4	6	ASHOK KR. SINHA		28.00
37	4	7.	VINOD KR. SINHA	LATE RAMANANDAN PRASAD	36.00
38	4	8	MANOJ KR. SINHA		36.00
39	• 4	9	LALAN KR. SINHA		108.00
.40	4	10	KALI PRASAD VERMA	BALDEV PD VERMA	18.00
41	5	1	BASO RAM	LATE BAIJU RAM	48.60
42	5	2	BHUSHAN RAM	LATE JAGO RAM	54.00
43	5	3	RAMANI SAMAJ BHAWAN		48.60
44	. 5	. 4	CHANDRIKA RAM	LATE JEDU RAM	42.00
45	5	5	JAMUNA RAM	JEDU RAM	43.20
46	5	6	KARU RAM	LATE SHYAM LAL RAM	54.00
47	5	7	MANI CHANDRA RAM	SHYAM LAL RAM	54.00
48	5	8	SUKDEV RAM	LATE GOPI RAM	54.00
49	5	9	NAURANGI RAM	BHUNESWAR RAM	27.00
50	5	10	SUNIL RAM	BHUNESWAR RAM	27.00
51	· 6	1	VIJAY KUMAR SINGH	BHUSAN SINGH	3,859.20
52	6	2	PRADEEP SINGH	GANESH SINGH	129.60
53	6	3	CHANDRA KANT SINGH	LATE TRIVENI SINGH	103.68
54	6	4	VIRENDRA KR MISHRA	GANGA PD MISHRA	322.56
55	6	5	OM PRAKASH SINGH	LATE BRAHMDEV SINGH	180.00
56	· 6	• 6	VASANT SINGH	LATE VASUDEV SINGH	498.96
57	6	7	MURARI PRASAD SINGH	LATE RAJPATI SINGH	311.04
58	6	8	BRAJ KISHOR SINGH	BINDESHWARI SINGH	213.84
59	6.	9	JAYMALA DEVI	SIYASARAN SINGH	233.28
60	6	10	JAY KISHOR SINGH	SHOCH SHWARI	183.60

Internal Audit Report –Nagar Parishad- Jamui

 $\bigcirc$ 

Financial Year (2016-17)

61	7	1	SASHI KALA SINHA	RAM SHANKAR	108.00
62	7	2	ANODA DEVI	SINGH	
63	7	3	SUDHIR KUMAR SHAH	RADHA SHAH	36.00
64	7	4	TARA SINHA	LATE SASHIBHUSAN PRASAD	86.40
65	. 7	5	ABHIMANU PANDEY	LATE ARJUN PANDEY	28.80
66	7	6	RAMCHANDRA SINGH	LATE RAMSWARUP SINGH	108.00
67	7	7	SAODAGAR DAS	LATE JIVANLAL DAS	32.40
68	7	8	GEETA DEVI	SHAMBHU TANTI	54.00
69	7	9	KANTI DEVI	CHANDRA SEKHAR PRASAD	36.00
70	7	10	SUNAINA DEVI	KAPIL DEV	54.00
71	8	1	SHASHIKALA SINGH	JITENDRA PD. SINGH	189.00
72	8	2	NEELAM DEVI SUNIL KR KESRI		36.00
73	8.	3	VINA DEVI LATE UCHIT PD. SINGH		81.00
74	8	4	HIRA PD. SINGH	LATE MADAN PD. SINGH	108.00
75	· 8	5	ARVIND KR. SINGH	LATE NAND PD. SINGH	777.00
76	8	6	NIRMAL KR. SINGH	BRAJKISHOR PD. SINGH	648.00
77	8	7	SUDHA DEVI	ASHISH KR SINGH	162.00
78	8	8	DAYANAND PRASAD LATE SAHDEV PRASAD		151.20
79	. 8	· 9	NAVAL KISHOR SINGH	NAVAL KISHOR SINGH LATE AMBIKA PD. SINGH	
80	8	10	VIPIN KR SINHA		54.00



# **Details of Sairat**

 $\bigcirc$ 

NAME OF SAIRAT	COLACTION	2015-16	DATE	2016-2017
GUEST HOUSE	· 1021000			•
TAMPU STAND	851000	2551500	29-03-2016	2551900
TAXI STAND ON BODHBAN	430000	499500	26-03-2016	501200
TAXI STAND ON LAKHISARAI	421000	489000	26-03-2016	491100
TEMPU STAND ON OIL GODAUN	234000	· 193100	26-03-2016	194000
TAWARI TAXI STAND	1105000	1272100	29-03-2016	1273000
RIX,TAMTAM,ETC.	27000	32800	26-03-2016	33050
OCTRAI FOR SAND	552000	3055000		No Tender
OCTRAI FOR VEGETABLE SHOP	43900	50800	26-03-2016	51100
TEACHING GROUND	2400	0		No Tender
BYCYCLE TIN TIC				No Tender
SULABH SAUCHALAY				No Tender
TOTAL	4687300	8143800		5095350



CHERKSKEEN CONSTRUCTION

 $\bigcirc$ 

	HOLDING TAX JAMUI			
Collection from	Collection to	Deposited in Bank	Amount	Delay in days
08-03-2016	11-03-2016	09-04-2016	6,808.00	29
04-09-2016	30-03-2016	09-04-2016	22,968.00	10
04-04-2016	11-04-2016	05-07-2016	11,752.00	112
11-04-2016	13-04-2016	05-07-2016	14,816.00	. 109
13-04-2016	20-04-2016	05-07-2016	7,032.00	75
03-05-2016	11-05-2016	05-07-2016	3,708.00	54
13-05-2016	26-05-2016	05-07-2016	12,328.00	39
27-05-2016	30-05-2016	05-07-2016	5,072.00	35
01-06-2016	06-06-2016	05-07-2016	62,288.00	29
07-06-2016	27-06-2016	05-07-2016	18,616.00	8
02-07-2016	05-07-2016	15-10-2016	4,032.00	100
. 09-07-2016	11-07-2016	15-10-2016	3,360.00	94
01-08-2016	12-08-2016	20-09-2016	8,464.00	38
12-08-2016	29-08-2016	20-09-2016	13,336.00	21
29-08-2016	20-09-2016	20-09-2016	18,480.00	41
01-09-2016	09-09-2016	20-09-2016	8,256.00	41
12-09-2016	26-09-2016	29-11-2016	6,028.00	63
17-09-2016	17-09-2016	29-11-2016	4,312.00	. 72
18-09-2016	24-09-2016	29-11-2016	36,776.00	65
18-09-2016	. 30-09-2016	29-11-2016	6,028.00	60
03-10-2016	28-10-2016	06-12-2016	5,704.00	38
09-11-2016	. 26-11-2016	20-12-2016	7,768.00	24
26-11-2016	30-01-2016	20-12-2016	12,332.00	94
07-04-2016	13-04-2016	12-07-2016	9,880.00	89
06-05-2016	30-05-2016	12-07-2016	5,068.00	42
. 06-06-2016	07-06-2016	12-07-2016	832.00	35
08-06-2016	24-06-2016	12-07-2016	9,168.00	18
11-07-2016	17-08-2016	06-12-2016	2,532.00	109
08-09-2016	28-09-2016	29-11-2016	12,218.00	61
20-09-2016	30-09-2016	29-11-2016	18,792.00	59
·06-10-2016	21-10-2016	17-01-2016	5,964.00	88
15-11-2016	24-11-2016	17-01-2017	7,766.00	. 53
15-12-2016	26-11-2016	11-02-2017	2,543.00	

# Delay in collection and deposition of holding tax in Bank

