INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT

(SPUR-PMU/194/IA-140ULBs & SLMA/G-14/SJA/2016/134/39)

OF NAGAR PANCHAYAT Janakpur Road

FOR THE F.Y. 2016-17



Conducted by:

M/s R.SHAH & Co.

2ND FLOOR, VYAPAR BHAWAN LALJI HIRJI,RANCHI-834001 06512227747,9304828767 e-Mail- caneetu@gmail.com


50

efyl

CONTENTS

| S.No | Particulars | Page No. |
|------|--------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Audit Methodology and Approach | 3-5 |
| 2 | Executive Summary | 6-8 |
| 3 | Main Audit Report | 9-13 |
| 4 | Audit Observations – Part-A | 14-17 |
| 5 | 18-20 | |
| 6 | Audit Observations – Part-C | 21-24 |

 (\cdot,\cdot)



lections to be deposited into Bank on the same cule 69: Grant Related Compliance ☐ Rule 120-121: Monthly Receipt & Payment Account and Trial Balance ☐ Rule 130: Audit to be completed & reported within 6 month a) Report and quantify all major Own revenue losses and Complied in Para no. Part A 4.4 & opportunities lost or missed including in the area of Property of Main Audit Report at Page 4.5 Tax, Mobile Transmission Towers Tax, Rental of Municipal no.14 to 17. properties, Advertisement Taxes/Fees, Sairatetc; b) Check on audit trail of all collection of Taxes and Non-Taxes either through staff or outsourced agency and report of any lapses in controls, if any and also advise recommendations to strengthen the prevailing processes; Report in a separate chapter on implementation of SAS of Complied in Part A of Audit 4 4.6 Property Tax in the ULB; internal auditor should witness some Observation at Page no.14 &



| | | | |
|---|------|---|-------------------------------|
| | | assessment procedures to check any in-consistencies in | 15. |
| | | assessment. At least 20 high value properties in the city /town | |
| | | (irrespective of the fact that SAS is received or not) must be | |
| | | surveyed and checked in each quarter and reported variations, | |
| | | if any, in PTRs and Actual as per internal audits; | |
| 5 | 4.7 | Voucher on all payments above Rs. 10,000 and report on | Complied in Para no. 12 of |
| | | adequacy and appropriateness of its | Part 2of Executive Summary |
| | | | at Page no. 6-8. |
| | | documentation, approvals, compliance of procedures etc. | |
| 6 | 4.8 | Report on Procurement made including through E-Tendering | Complied in Part A of Audit |
| | | and E-Auction indicating exceptions, if any and whether a | Observation at Page no .16 |
| | | register is kept for all Procurements with value above | |
| | | Rs.15,000/- | |
| 7 | 4.9 | Internal auditor shall also report on presence or absence of a | Complied in part A of Audit |
| | | system of issuance of utilization certificate for the different | Observation at Page no .14 to |
| | | schemes for any utilisation made during the reporting period; | 17. |
| | | Where there is no system for issuance of U/Cs, the Internal | |
| | | Audit report shall prepare Utilisation Certificate for various | |
| | | schemes/grants as per the guidelines of such scheme available | |
| | | on the UD&HD website. | |
| 8 | 4.10 | Internal Audit can also, provide recommendations to help the | Complied in part A and Part |
| | | ULB management improve the ULB's internal control | B of Audit Observation at |
| | | environment; | Page no .14 to 20. |
| | | | P.A.S. |
| | | | |
| 9 | 4.11 | Internal Audit should report instances of | |
| | | inefficiencies and recommend | |
| | | can be taken to avoid their | |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| ality | Janakpur Road Nagar Panchayat |
|-------------------------|---|
| Current Audit | 1 st April, 2016 to 31st March, 2017 |
| nicipal Officer for the | Sri. Kamalnath jha |
| | 08/09/2017/,09/09/2017,11/11/2017 & 14/11/2017 |

ions during the course of internal audit have been found & discussed at ant of the Nagar Panchayat.

Tax/Fees of Rs **6,58,000.00/-(as on 31.03.2017)**

ed by the ULB.

on of Taxes.

any locker was kept by the ULB.

unt.

Balance is not being made.

ne.

ve Rs. 10,000.00, we have found that these ocumentation and approval etc.

ificate for the different schemes for any

ce of records etc. observed during the

4. Opinion

()

 \bigcirc

(أ

(:)

As referred above and detailed in Audit Report, we are of the opinion that The Functions of concerned ULB is confined to collection of Holding Tax. There are many other sources of Revenue which are not explored and taken care of by the ULB.

5. Audit Recommendation

The concerned officers should explore other areas of Revenue Generations by surveying their area has Tower Tax, Advertisement Tax, Holding Fee, Trade License Fees, Others Fees and Taxes. Record keeping should done properly that any document can traceable as and when required for any purpose. Procurement related documents and files should be made available for verification and transparency purposes. Cash book should be maintained properly by making entries of balances of each Bank account separately. Internal Auditor and Accountant should have adequate coordination between them making the system perfect.

6. Fixed Assets Register

- I. The procurement of fixed assets is not proper and non-maintenance of Fixed Asset Register would lead to failure of determining the replacement cost.
- II. There is lack of knowledge in respect of maintaining fixed assets register amongst the ULB personals.
- III. The unit has not done the number mark for the assets physically lying with the unit.

7. Bank Reconciliation Statement:-

- I. Bank Reconciliation statement not prepared by ULB, hence it is difficult to monitor, if any.
- 8. Vehicle Log Book:- During the course of audit, vehicle log book not provided to us.
- Vouching:-in ULB there is no system of preparation of vouchers and payment has been done only though Bill/Invoices.

10. Opinion

We suggest the followings: Audit Recommendations

- 1. All the prescribed books of accounts and Registers should be prepared on real time basis. TDS,PF & ESI should be deducted from salary, if applicable.
- 2. As early as possible Holding Tax should be collected by the N.P. and Demand & Collection Register of all the wards should be prepared as per BMAM format and calculation should be done as per new assessment.
- **3.** Collection from own sources should be improved.
- **4.** New staffs are required to be appointed by the management for smooth running of the ULB AND THEY should be equipped with adequate training to run the ULB smoothly.



We thank Mr. Kamal Nath Jha (Executive (Chairman) (Accountant) for their support during the period of our audit. We are also thankful to Mr. Ram Kumar Chaudhary (Bada Babu), Support staffs of the municipality for their cooperation extended to us during the period of our audit.

Place: Ranchi Date: 31.11.2017

2.9

1.



For R. Shah& Co. Chartered Accountants FRN No.-502010C

CA. Neetu Jejani

(Partner) M.N.- 406561

MAIN AUDIT REPORT

1. Introduction

The Internal audit of Nagar Panchayat Janakpur Road covering period from 1st April 2016 to 31st March, 2017 was conducted by following persons under guidance of CA Neetu Jejani.

- i. Rana Burha Gohain
- ii. Ajay Singh
- iii. Anjali Singh

2. Administration

The present body of the ULB is functioning since June 2012. The incumbency in the key administrative and executive position was as under:

- 1. Mr. Manoj Kumar, Chairman from 06/09/2012 to 07/06/2017
- 2. Sri Kamal Nath Jha, Executive officer since Sept. 2015 till date.

3. Review of outstanding audit paras: Status of Audit Observations are as under:

| SI. | Particulars | Total | Total No. of | Total No. | Total No. | Total | Total No. | No. & date |
|-----|-----------------------------------|--------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--|
| No. | of Audit | No. of | audit paras | of audit | of audit | amount | of | of |
| 1 | and date of | Audit | where | paras | paras | of | outstandi | Compliance |
| | report | Paras. | necessary | where | where | Recovery | ng | Report |
| | | | improvement/ | recovery | recovery | | paras | |
| | | | corrective | of cash is | has been | | where | : |
| | | | measure is | proposed | made | | no action | |
| | 0 | | required | | 8 | | has been | |
| | | | | | | | taken | |
| 1. | AG Audit Dated (30/06/2015) | 14 | 14 | 04 | 0 | 0 | 14 | No compliance has been made till date. |

The major irregularities Pointed out in the AG Audit report on which no action has been taken are as under:

- 1. The ULB has unable to spent various GoB fund in specified time period.
- 2. Labour Cess has not been deducted.
- 3. Deducted amount of IT, VAT, Royalty has not been deposited in Govt. A/c.
- 4. Purchase of Hidrolic Tailor, Dustbin & CFL Light has not included in Stock Register.



- 5. New rate for holding tax has not been done.
- 6. Mobile tower Survey/Registration has not been done.
- 7. Education Cess, Health Cess has not been deposited in Govt.A/c amounting to Rs.5.60 Lacs

4. Finance

I. Budgetary provisions and expenditure for the last three years

| Year | 2016-17 | 2015-16 | 2014-15 |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| Final/ Revised Budget | 2,57,11,987.50 | 7,60,49,960.00 | 6,09,96,000.00 |
| Actual Expenditure | 3,59,87,700.00 | 7,58,62,000.00 | 2,29,65,544.00 |
| Savings (+)/ Excess (-) | (-)1,02,75,712.5 | (+) 1,87,960.00 | (+) 3,80,30,456.00 |

II. Volume of transactions

| Period | Budgeted (16-17) | Previous year(15-16) | Current Period (16-17) | Cumulative for the current period 2016-17 |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| Opening Balance | 5,18,89,840.40 | 3,88,83,484.40 | 5,18,89,840.40 | 5,18,89,840.40 |
| Receipts | 2,29,89,000.00 | 3,56,22,075.00 | 5,46,02,171.00 | 5,46,02,171.00 |
| TOTAL | 7,48,78,840.40 | 7,45,05,559.40 | 10,64,92,011.40 | 10,64,92,011.40 |
| Net Expenditure | 2,57,11,987.50 | 2,26,15,719.00 | 3,59,87,700.00 | 3,59,87,700.00 |
| Closing Balance | 4,91,66,825.90 | 5,18,89,840.40 | 7,05,04,311.40 | 7,05,04,311.40 |



III. Bank Reconciliation

Details of Bank Accounts and their reconciliation position are as under:

| SI. No | Name of Bank | Account No. | Balance as on 31.03.2017 | Name of Scheme | Reconciliation position |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| 1_ | SBI | XXXX3707 | 14,54,280.00 | Own Sources | Not reconciled |
| 2 | SBI | XXXX2075 | 30,89,560.74 | BRGF+13th | Not reconciled |
| 3 | SBI | XXXX4652 | 46,98,139.00 | | Not reconciled |
| 4 | PNB | XXXX2179 | 18,71,844.75 | | |
| 5 | PNB | XXXX2188 | 7,63,094.75 | | |
| 6 | ALLAHABAD | XXXX2228 | 78,80,718.00 | | Not reconciled |
| 7 | ALLAHABAD | XXXX3363 | 2,52,275.00 | | Not reconciled |
| 8 | ALLAHABAD | XXXX1167 | 61,094.00 | | Not reconciled |
| 9 | CBI | XXXX9360 | 6,85,006.00 | | Not reconciled |
| 10 | BOI | XXXX0003 | 53,20,000.00 | | |
| 11 | PLA | Treasury A/c | 4,41,69,062.00 | | |
| | Total Bank Balance | | 7,02,45,074.24 | | |
| | Total Cash Book Ba | alance | 7,05,04,311.40 | | |
| | Difference | | 2,59,237.16 | | |

Bank Reconciliation Statement have Not been prepared by the N.P There is a difference of Rs. 259237.16/- between Cash Book and Consolidated Balance as per Pass Book of all accounts and Treasury PL A/C.

The Nagar Panchayat is suggested to reconcile the above mentioned differences immediately and prepare B.R.S on monthly basis.



£)

IV. Revenue Receipts

| Period | Current Budgeted (16-17). | Previous Year (15-16) | Current Period (16-17) | Cumulative for the current period (16-17) |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|
| a) Own Source | | | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Property Tax | 6,14,000.00 | 5,84,165.00 | 5,11,142.00 | 5,11,142.00 |
| Assigned revenue | 13,50,000.00 | 3,92,933.00 | 32,52,703.00 | 32,52,703.00 |
| Others (Fees & User Charges) | 3,50,000.00 | 1,06,095.00 | 4,08,739.00 | 4,08,739.00 |
| (b) Administrative Grant | | 52,67,600.00 | 10,52,179.00 | 10,52,179.00 |
| (c) Specific Grant (Scheme | wise) | 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 | | |
| 14th State Finance | | | 51,16,188.00 | 51,16,188.00 |
| SBM | | | 69,93,802.00 | 69,93,802.00 |
| 13 th + BRGF | | | 1,44,232.00 | 1,44,232.00 |
| 13 th + 14 th | | | 2,38,602.00 | 2,38,602.00 |
| 5 th | | 61,48,299.00 | 1,27,51,139.00 | 1,27,51,139.00 |
| Jalapurti Yojana | | 19,31,567.00 | | |
| Pool and Road Construction | | 2,51,415.00 | | |
| BRGF | 7 | 6,18,307.00 | 63,635.00 | 63,635.00 |
| C. M. Drinking Water | 20625000.00 | | 1,00,05,877.00 | 1,00,05,877.00 |
| State Finance | | | 2,61,100.00 | 2,61,100.00 |
| HFA | | | 76,20,000.00 | 76,20,000.00 |
| Nali- gali yojna | | | 33,40,730.00 | 33,40,730.00 |
| Social Welfare | | | 3,46,664.00 | 3,46,664.00 |
| Food & | | | 3,20,701.00 | 3,20,701.00 |
| Samajik Suraksha pension | | 2,29,623.00 | 21,74,738.00 | 21,74,738.00 |
| 13 th Finance | | 10,16,342.00 | | |
| 14 th Finance | | 34,98,315.00 | | |
| Swachh Bharat | | 30,30,000.00 | | |
| Various Schemes | | 1,25,47,324.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 2,06,25,000.00 | 3,56,22,075.00 | 5,46,02,171.00 | 5,46,02,171.00 |

^{**} Copy of Bifurcation of Budgeted figure by scheme wise, not provided to us.



<u>Status of Implementation of Double Entry Accounting System:</u>-Double Entry Accounting System is not operational in the Nagar Panchayat Janakpur Road till date.

Appointment of Chartered Accountants firms for implementation of Double Entry Accounting System in the Nagar Panchayat is in process and C.A.Firm has been appointed by the UD & HD.

Status of Municipal Accounts Committee; if meeting held

As per Section 98 of Bihar Municipal Act, 2007 it is necessary for the Municipality to constitute a Municipal Accounts Committee at its first meeting in each year or as soon as may be at any meeting subsequent thereto, a Municipal Accounts Committee has been constituted by the Nagar



Audit Observations

PART-A

All audit objections/ irregularities which have monetary implication, particularly in following areas: Collection of Revenue (own source)

Holding & Property Tax Collection

<u>Subject</u>: Collection of Property Tax/Holding Tax by the Concerned ULB.

➤ We observed that collection of Holding Tax/Property Tax are very poor.

Impact: Due to poor collection of Property/holding Tax there is huge operational Revenue Loss to ULB.

Cause:

3

Recommendation:

Survey Report should be prepared as soon as possible and should be prepared for construction of new Residential/Commercial building on Yearly basis also.

Mobile Tower Tax:

Subject: Collection of Mobile Tower Tax

➤ Tower Tax is taxes on communication Tower & related Structure Defined in BIHAR COMMUNICATION TOWERS AND RELATED STRUCTURES RULES, 2012. As per the rules all operators are required to register with their concerned ULBs and pay registration Fee & renewal charges on Annual Basis for communication tower erected within municipal area.

<u>Status/Condition:</u> As per Discussion with us Mobile tower tax has not been collected by the ULB till date of our audit.(Annexure-A)

<u>Impact:</u> Due to non collection of Tower Tax/Fees there is a revenue loss to ULB.

<u>Cause:</u> The ULBs does not have Proper mechanisms for Supervision and monitoring of the tower tax/rent due to which result in Revenue Leakage.

Recommendation:

There should be proper monitoring and further steps are required to be taken for collection of Tower Tax by concerned ULB.



Annexure - A

Mobile Tower dues as on 31.03.2017

| SI No. | Location | Ward no. | Owner of Tower | Date of Installation | Date of Registration | Registration Amount | Renewal Fee Upto F.Y.16-17 | Dues as on 31.03.2017 |
|-----------|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Anapurna Rice | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Mill | 10 | BSNL | 2008 | 2008 | 30,000.00 | 64,000.00 | 94,000.00 |
| 2 | Baniya Tolla | 3 | Reliance | 2008 | 2008 | 30,000.00 | 64,000.00 | 94,000.00 |
| 3 | Club Chowk | 10 | Aircel | 2008 | 2008 | 30,000.00 | 64,000.00 | 94,000.00 |
| 4 | Anapurna Rice Mill | 10 | Aircel | 2008 | 2008 | 30,000.00 | 64,000.00 | 94,000.00 |
| 5 | Behind the SBI Pupri,Janakpur Road. | 11 | Idea | 2008 | 2008 | 30,000.00 | 64,000.00 | 94,000.00 |
| 6 | Jaitpur Mohalla | 4 | Tata Indicom | 2008 | 2008 | 30,000.00 | 64,000.00 | 94,000.00 |
| | Behind the SBI Pupri,Janakpur | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Road. | 11 | Airtel | 2008 | 2008 | 30,000.00 | 64,000.00 | 94,000.00 |
| Total | | | | | | 2,10,000.00 | 4,48,000.00 | 6,58,000.00 |

<u>Trade License:</u>- As per section 342 of Bihar Municipal Act,2007,Trade license fee is to be collected from different types of Trader, who are trading in Concerned area not collected in the F.Y.2016-17. Survey for Assess of Trade License not provided to us during the course of audit.

Advertisement Tax:-chapter XVII of the Bihar Municipal Act,2007 requires license for advertisement of any hoarding, etc. as per the act Every Person who erects, exhibits, fixes or retains upon or over any land, building ,wall, boarding, frame, post, kiosk, structure, vehicle, neon-sign or sky-sign any advertisement, or display any advertisement to public view in any manner whatsoever (including any advertisement exhibited by means of cinematograph),visible from a public street a public place in any location in a municipal area including airport or a port or a railway station ,shall pay or every advertisement, which is so erected ,exhibited, fixed or retained or so displayed to public view, a tax calculated at such rate as may be determined regulations. it requires payment of certain fee for advertisement. No record regarding the same has been made available to us during the course of Audit.



Maintenance of Cash Book:-

Cash book is not closed on daily basis and signed by Accountant & officer on transaction basis.

<u>Pay-Roll Register:-</u> During the course of Audit the Pay-roll system is functioning satisfactorily but ESI, Pension Fund contribution, LTA have not been deducted from salary. Only TDS has been deducted from EO salary.

Inventory/Stores Register:-Inventory/Stores Register has not been maintained properly.

<u>Grant Register & Utilization Certificates:-</u> Grant Register has been maintained by the ULB and upon enquiry of status of Utilization certificate, the E.O. and Head Clerk has explained to us that all Utilization certificates relating of financial year 2016-17 and prior period has already been submitted to concerned office. Summary of utilization certificates given to us, list attached.

Report on findings of field survey of Property Tax of minimum 20 high value properties

Field survey of 20 high value properties is attached herewith but property tax register is under preparation and demand & collection register were not updated and not maintain properly. So in ,

this regard we checked 20high value property on the basis of available data.(List Attached)



Annexure - C

List of 20 high value property of F.Y.2016-2017 are given below:

| SI. No. | Ward No. | Holding No. | Amount per annum | Assessment done |
|---------|----------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 1 | 4 | 51 | 3600.00 | No |
| 2 | 4 | 57 | 691.00 | No |
| 3 | 4 | 59 | 691.00 | No |
| 4 | 4 | 60 | 691.00 | No |
| 5 | 6 | 1 | 2448.00 | No |
| 6 | 4 | 186 | 2130.00 | No |
| 7 | 1 | 11 | 1065.00 | No |
| 8 | 1 | 20 | 518.00 | No |
| 9 | 3 | 33 | 1555.00 | No |
| 10 | 3 | 35 | 1728.00 | No |
| 11 | 2 | 30 | 648.00 | No |
| 12 | 2 | 31 | 960.00 | No |
| 13 | 3 | 31 | 705.00 | No |
| 14 | 3 | 34 | 864.00 | No |
| 15 | 5 | 74 | 547.00 | No |
| 16 | 5 | 123 | 518.00 | No |
| 17 | 5 | 127 | 4471.00 | No |
| 18 | 6 | 69 | 648.00 | No |
| 19 | 6 | 208 | 1728.00 | No |
| 20 | 9 | 64 | 2131.00 | No |



PART-B

All audit objections/ irregularities which have no monetary implication, but significant violation of Act, Rules & directives of UD & HD. Mention the reference Act & Rules wherein remedial measures is required. In this part auditor should report in respect of —

1. Non-maintenance of books of accounts, subsidiary registers

<u>Maintenance of Books Of Accounts</u>: As per Bihar Municipal Accounting Manual Following primary Books of accounts are required to be maintained

- 1. Cash Book (Form Gen-IA)
- 2. Bank Book (Form Gen-IB)
- 3. Journal Book (Form Gen-2)
- 4. Ledger (Form Gen-3)

The N.P. is maintaining General & Subsidiary Cash Books/ Bank Book. In addition to above following other General Registers and forms are required to be maintained but the same are not being maintained or not properly maintained-

- 1. Receipt GEN-8
- 2. Receipt Register GEN-9
- 3. Statement on Status of Cheques Received GEN-10
- 4. Collection Register GEN-11
- 5. Memorandum of Collection GEN-12
- 6. Summary of Daily Collection GEN-13
- 7. Register of Bills for Payment GEN-14
- 8. Payment Order GEN-15
- 9. Register of Public Lighting System GEN-37
- 10. Register of Advance GEN-17
- 11. Register of Permanent Advance GEN-18
- 12. Deposit Register GEN-19
- 13. Summary Statement of Deposits Adjusted GEN-20
- 14. Demand Register GEN-21
- 15. Bill for Municipal Dues GEN-22
- 16. Summary Statement of Bills Raised GEN-23
- 17. Register of Notice Fee, Warrant Fee, Other Fees GEN-24
- 18. Summary Statement of Notice Fee, Warrant Fee, Other Fees GEN-25
- 19. Register of Refunds, Remissions and Write-offs GEN-26
- 20. Summary Statement of Refunds and Remissions GEN-27
- 21. Summary Statement of Write-Offs GEN-28
- 22. Statement of Outstanding Liability for Expenses GEN-29
- Documents Control Register/Stock Account of Receipt/Cheque Book GEN-30
- 24. Register of Immovable Property GEN-31
- 25. Register of Movable Property GEN-32



- 26. Register of Land GEN-33
- 27. Function-wise Income Subsidiary Ledger GEN-34
- 28. Function-wise Expense Subsidiary Ledger GEN-35
- 29. Asset Replacement Register GEN-36
- 30. Register of Public Lighting System GEN-37
- ** The Nagar Panchayat is suggested to maintain all the above "not maintained" books of accounts and register so the Nagar Panchayat will run smoothly.

1. Irregularity in procurement process

No major irregularity observed during the audit.

2. Non-compliance of directives by UD & HD, GOB

There are certain directives which are not being comply by the concerned ULB regularly ,list of non Complied directives are following:

- Directives relating to forming a "Municipal Accounts Committee" have not been complied.
- ➤ Directives relating to not to hire any individual as a daily wages worker by the UD & HD through letter no.-04-U.H./1/99/1986/U.D.D25/06/01,but the concerned ULB has failed to comply this directives.

It has been observed during the audit that the concerned ULB has been failed to comply certain provisions of Bihar Municipal Act. with respect to Section 86 of Bihar Municipal Act,2007, Executive Officer of ULBs should prepare Income and Expenditure Accounts. Further as per Section 88, Executive Officer Should Prepare a financial statement Assets and Liabilities from the date of ending of Financial year. As per Section 89 he should prepare a comparative statement to "Standing Committee" for the approval, but the concerned ULB has failed to do so.

3. Non Compliance of Act & Rules

Various books of accounts and records, as provided in the Bihar Municipal Accounting Act and Rules are not maintained.

4. Non-compliance of TDS, VAT and other relevant Statute

Rules and regulations as provided in the Income Tax Act, Sales Tax Act (VAT) are complied with by the ULB.

5. Deficiency in Pay-roll Systems

The pay-roll system is functioning satisfactorily. PF account has not been opened with PF department, All the deductions of PF contribution and pension fund contribution are being deposited in a separate account opened by the municipality.



6. Utilization of Grant and report on missing Utilization Certificates

Grant Register has been prepared by the N.P. As explained to us, details of unspent balance has been given to us and Utilization certificates up to 31.03.2017 has been sent to the Government. A Summary of Utilization certificate provided to us during the course of audit has been attached at the end of report. (List attached)

7. Physical verification of inventory/Stores

Store Register has been prepared but proper entry was not entered in proper way and physical verification of inventory/stores has not been done.

8. Advances, their adjustment & recovery

No advance has been given by the N.P. for the financial year 2016-2017.

9. Any other matters as may be prescribed in due course.

Staff strength in the accounts department needs to be increased. Accountant should be provided with necessary training to prepare the books, which can add value addition to the functioning of ULB.



PART- C

General Observations: Auditor should report the deficiencies noticed during their audit and recommend ULB Management to improve internal systems.

A. Whether the postings for the entries in the books of original entry have been correctly made in the

respective ledger accounts

No, Ledger accounts have not been prepared by the Nagar Panchayat.

- B. Whether all the books of accounts and supplementary registers that are prescribed in the Accounts Manual / other applicable regulations have been properly maintained by the ULB No, except General Cash book and Subsidiary Cash Books, no other books of accounts have been maintained by the Nagar Panchayat.
- C. Whether the Quarterly Financial Statements have been compiled on the basis of the actual entries in the books of accounts

No quarterly Financial Statements have been prepared by the N.P.

- D. Whether the period-end and reconciliation procedures prescribed have been carried out. No, period-end and reconciliation procedures as prescribed have not been carried out.
- E. Whether the Bank Reconciliation statements have been prepared and are appropriate.

 No, Bank Reconciliation Statements have not been prepared by the Nagar Panchayat due to no difference between cash book and bank book. Both are tallied.
- F. Whether all grants from Government have been accounted at gross value with proper entries to various accounts.

Yes, all grants from Government have been accounted at gross value but all transactions are not correctly classified with sufficient details. Grant Register is maintained by ULB.

G. Whether all transactions (incomes, expenditures, assets and liabilities) are correctly classified and stated in sufficient detail.

Yes all transactions have been classified as incomes and expenditure but assets and liabilities have not been recognized.

H. Whether all grants sanctioned or received by them municipality during the year, have been accounted properly, and where any deduction is made out of such grants towards any dues of the ULB? Whether such deductions have been properly accounted.

Grant received during the year has been properly accounted for. Information related to grant sanctioned and deductions made out of such grant is not available with the ULB.



0.0

- I. Whether any Special Funds have been created as per the provision of any statute and whether the Special Funds have been utilized for the purposes for which they have been created. No Special fund has been created by the ULB.
- J. In respect of contracts that are in existence during the quarter, whether there are any deviations from the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority.

On our test check we did not notice any major deviation.

- K. Whether the ULB is maintaining proper records showing full particulars, including quantitative details and situation of fixed assets; whether these fixed assets have been physically verified at reasonable intervals; whether any material discrepancies were noticed on such verification and if so, whether the same has been properly dealt with in the books of account.
 The ULB is not maintaining proper records showing full particulars including quantitative details and situation of fixed assets. Physical verification of fixed assets has not been done during the financial year under audit.
- L. Whether in case of leasehold property given by the ULB, lease rentals are collected regularly by the ULB and that the lease agreements are renewed after their expiry. As explained to us, no property of the ULB has been given on lease.
- M. Whether physical verification has been conducted by the ULB at reasonable intervals in respect of stores.

No, physical verification of stores has not been conducted by the ULB at reasonable intervals.

- N. Whether the procedures of physical verification of stores followed by the ULB are reasonable and adequate? If not, the inadequacies in such procedures should be reported.

 No physical verification done.
- O. Whether any material discrepancies have been noticed on physical verification of stores as compared to book records, and if so, whether the same has been properly dealt with in the books of account.

No physical verification done.

- P. Whether proper procedures are in place to identify any unserviceable or damaged stores and whether provision for the loss in this respect, if any, has been made in the accounts.

 No there are no procedures are in place to identify any unserviceable or damaged stores.
- Q. Whether the valuation of stores is in accordance with the accounting principles laid down in the rules? Whether the basis of valuation of stores is same as in the preceding year? If there is any deviation in the basis of valuation, the effect of such deviation, if material, should be reported. No valuation of stores has been done.



R. Whether the parties to whom loans or advances have been given by the ULB are repaying the principal amounts as stipulated and are also regular in payment of the interest and if not, whether reasonable steps have been taken by the municipality for recovery of the principal and interest?

There is no case loans and advances.

S. Whether advances given to municipal employees and interest thereon are being regularly recovered.

No advances has been given by the ULB.

T. Whether there exists an adequate internal control procedure for the purchase of stores, including

components, plant and machinery, equipment and other assets?

No, there does not exist any internal control procedure for the purchase of stores, including components, plant and machinery, equipment and other assets.

- U. Whether applicable procurement rules and procedures are being followed and if so, significant deviations should be identified and reported.
 No significant deviation observed.
- V. Whether the municipality is regular in depositing statutory dues including tax deducted at source, service tax, VAT, works contract tax, cess payable to the government etc., and if not, the nature and cause of such delay and the amount not deposited.
 No, the municipality is not regular in depositing statutory dues including tax deducted at source, service tax, VAT, works contract tax, cess payable to the government etc. We observed that all such taxes deducted during the financial year have been deposited in the next year. Outstanding TDS,VAT,Royalty is Rs.84663/-,354874/-,35182/-respectively. Only TDS deposited on 11/09/2017.VAT & Royalty not deposited till the date of our audit. Labour Cess not deducted Rs.84663/- and TDS not deducted Rs.902/- towards Advertisement payment on Rs.90177/- of Hindustan Media Ventures Ltd.
- W. Whether the municipality is regular in remittance of pension and leave encashment contributions or any other amounts which the municipality is liable to remit towards the retirement dues of its employees, including employees on deputation.

 The municipality is not given any contribution to PF or pension Fund. Employee contribution to PF and pension fund are being deducted from the salary of the employee and are being deposited in a separate bank account opened for the purpose.
- X. Whether any personal expenses have been charged to the municipality's accounts; if so, the details thereof.

We did not notice any such expenses.

Y. Whether all the expenditure incurred by the Municipality are authorized by appropriate provision in the sanctioned budget, whether made originally or subsequently and are in all cases such as are authorized by law.



Yes on our test check we observed that all the expenditure incurred by the Municipality are authorized by appropriate provision in the sanctioned budget.

Z. Whether all revenue has been properly assessed, accounted for, collected and recovery action taken on timely basis.

Yes, revenue has been properly assessed, accounted for and collected. Recovery action taken on timely basis.

- AA. Whether all sums due to and received by the Municipality have been brought to account within the prescribed time limits and are in all cases such as are authorized by law.

 No any tax has been collected by the Municipality during the period.
- AB. Whether in respect of all bills for charges on account of all works and other expenditure, proper certificates have been furnished in support of them and that no deviation has been made for the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority.

Yes on our test check we observed that all bills for charges on account of all works and other expenditure, proper certificates have been furnished in support of them and that no deviation has been made for the sanctioned plans and the estimates without the sanction of the competent authority.

AC. Whether the amounts received as specific grants have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the grant sanction order.

Yes on our test check we observed that amounts received as specific grants have been utilized for the purposes as stated in the grant sanction order.

- AD. Whether biometric devices and payroll software are used at the ULB. If not whether there is satisfactory system of payroll accounting; otherwise mention the key deficiencies of the system. No, biometric devices and payroll software are not used at the ULB. Pay roll system of the municipality is deficient as it does not contain leave records, details of deductions made etc.
- AE. Whether the grievance redressal mechanism for the ULB is sufficient.

No, we did not observe any grievance redressal cell functioning at the ULB.

Place: Ranchi Date: 31.11.2017

For R. Shah & Co.
Chartered Accountants
FRN No.- 502010C

CA . Neetu Jejani

(Partner) M.N.- 406561